

Judgment of the General Court of 17 October 2018 — Weber-Stephen Products v EUIPO (iGrill)(Case T-822/17) ⁽¹⁾**(EU trade mark — Application for the EU word mark iGrill — Absolute ground for refusal — Descriptive character — Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001))**

(2018/C 436/70)

Language of the case: English

Parties*Applicant:* Weber-Stephen Products LLC (Palatine, Illinois, United States) (represented by: R. Niebel and A. Jauch, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: M. Rajh, Agent)**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 27 September 2017 (Case R 579/2017-2), relating to an application for registration of the word sign iGrill as an EU trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders Weber-Stephen Products LLC to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 63, 19.2.2018.**Order of the General Court of 2 October 2018 — Karl Storz v EUIPO (3D)**(Case T-413/17 INTP) ⁽¹⁾**(Procedure — Interpretation of a judgment — EU trade mark — Withdrawal of the application for registration — No need to adjudicate)**

(2018/C 436/71)

Language of the case: English

Parties*Applicant:* Karl Storz GmbH & Co. KG (Tuttlingen, Germany) (represented by: S. Gruber and N. Siebertz, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: J. Ivanauskas, acting as Agent)**Re:**Application for interpretation of the judgment of 19 June 2018, *Karl Storz v EUIPO (3D)* (T-413/17, not published, EU:T:2018:356).

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the application for interpretation.*
2. *Each party shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 277, 21.8.2017.

Order of the General Court of 4 October 2018 — Makhlouf v Council

(Case T-506/17) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment — Common foreign and security policy — Restrictive measures against Syria — Freezing of funds — Action in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly lacking any foundation in law)

(2018/C 436/72)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Rami Makhlouf (Damascus, Syria) (represented by: E. Ruchat, lawyer)

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented by: S. Kyriakopoulou and V. Piessevaux, acting as Agents)

Re:

Action based on Article 263 TFEU and seeking annulment Council Decision (CFSP) 2017/917 of 29 May 2017, amending Decision 2013/255/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Syria (OJ 2017 L 139, p. 62), and its subsequent implementing acts, insofar as they concern the applicant.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed.*
2. *Rami Makhlouf is ordered to bear his own costs and to pay those incurred by the Council of the European Union.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 347, 16.10.2017.

Order of the General Court of 3 October 2018 — Pracsis and Conceptexpo Project v Commission and EACEA

(Case T-33/18) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment and for damages — Public service contracts — Administrative appeal before the Commission — Purely confirmatory act — Deadline for bringing an appeal — Act not open to challenge — Inadmissibility)

(2018/C 436/73)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicants: Pracsis SPRL (Brussels, Belgium) and Conceptexpo Project (Wavre, Belgium) (represented by: J.-N. Louis, lawyer)