

**Judgment of the General Court of 19 September 2019 – GE Healthcare v Commission**(Case T-783/17) <sup>(1)</sup>

***(Medicinal products for human use — Suspension of the marketing authorisation for gadolinium-containing contrast agents — Articles 31 and 116 of Directive 2001/83/EC — Precautionary principle — Equal treatment — Proportionality — Impartiality)***

(2019/C 406/36)

Language of the case: English

**Parties**

*Applicant:* GE Healthcare A/S (Oslo, Norway) (represented by: D. Scannell, Barrister, G. Castle and S. Oryszczuk, Solicitors)

*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: M. Wilderspin and A. Sipos, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Action based on Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment of Commission Implementing Decision C(2017) 7941 final of 23 November 2017, concerning, in the framework of Article 31 of Directive 2001/83/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 6 November 2001 on the Community code relating to medicinal products for human use (OJ 2001 L 311, p. 67), the marketing authorisations for gadolinium-containing contrast agents for human use which contain one or more of the active substances ‘gadobenic acid, gadobutrol, gadodiamide, gadopentetic acid, gadoteric acid, gadoteridol, gadoversetamide and gadoxetic acid’, in so far as that decision concerns Omniscan.

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders GE Healthcare A/S to pay the costs, including the costs relating to the interlocutory proceedings.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 42, 5.2.2018.

**Judgment of the General Court of 20 September 2019 — UZ v Parliament**(Case T-47/18) <sup>(1)</sup>

***(Civil service — Officials — Disciplinary proceedings — Psychological harassment — Disciplinary sanction — Downgrading by one grade and resetting of promotion points to zero — Rejection of the applicant’s request for assistance — Manner in which the administrative investigation was carried out — Requirement of impartiality — Right to be heard — Procedural irregularity — Consequences of the procedural irregularity)***

(2019/C 406/37)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* UZ (represented by: J.-N. Louis, lawyer)