

Defendant: Council of the European Union (represented: initially by M. Veiga and B. Driessen, and subsequently by B. Driessen and J.-P. Hix, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application on the basis of Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2017/904 of 29 May 2017 implementing Article 9(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1183/2005 imposing certain specific restrictive measures directed against persons acting in violation of the arms embargo with regard to the Democratic Republic of the Congo (OJ 2017 L 138 I, p. 1), and of Council Implementing Decision (CFSP) 2017/905 of 29 May 2017 implementing Decision 2010/788/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against the Democratic Republic of the Congo (OJ 2017 L 138 I, p. 6), in so far as those acts concern the applicants.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Mr Évariste Boshab and the other applicants whose names are listed in the annex to pay the costs.*

(¹) OJ C 374, 6.11.2017.

Judgment of the General Court of 26 March 2019 — Clestra Hauserman v Parliament

(Case T-725/17) (¹)

(Public works contracts — Tendering procedure — Works relating to the ‘Removable partitioning — doors’ of the project to extend and modernise the Parliament’s Konrad Adenauer Building in Luxembourg — Rejection of a tenderer’s bid — Award of the contract to another tenderer — Obligation to state reasons — Abnormally low tender — Manifest error of assessment — Non-contractual liability)

(2019/C 164/46)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Clestra Hauserman (Illkirch-Graffenstaden, France) (represented by: J. Gehin, lawyer)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by: V. Naglič and B. Schäfer, acting as Agents)

Re:

First, claim under Article 263 TFEU for annulment of the Parliament’s decision of 24 August 2017 adopted in the context of tendering procedure INLO-D-UPIL-T-16-AO8 concerning Lot 55, entitled ‘Removable partitioning — doors’, of the project to extend and modernise the Parliament’s Konrad Adenauer Building in Luxembourg, rejecting the applicant’s bid and awarding the contract to another tenderer and, second, claim under Article 268 TFEU for compensation in respect of the loss allegedly suffered by the applicant.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Clestra Hauserman to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Parliament.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 13, 15.1.2018.

Judgment of the General Court of 26 March 2019 — Parfümerie Akzente v EUIPO (GlamHair)

(Case T-787/17) ⁽¹⁾

(EU trade mark — Application for EU word mark GlamHair — Absolute ground for refusal — Lack of distinctive character — Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001))

(2019/C 164/47)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Parfümerie Akzente GmbH (Pfedelbach, Germany) (represented by: O. Spieker, A. Schönfleisch and M. Alber, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: D. Walicka, acting as Agent)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 25 September 2017 (Case R 82/2017-2), relating to an application for registration of the word sign GlamHair as an EU trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Parfümerie Akzente GmbH to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 32, 29.1.2018.