

Judgment of the General Court of 26 March 2019 — Greece v Commission(Case T-480/17) ⁽¹⁾

(EAGF and EAFRD — Expenditure excluded from financing — Expenditure incurred by Greece — One-off and flat-rate financial corrections — Cross compliance — Monitoring of statutory management requirements — Risk analysis — Assessment of the financial loss — Obligation to state reasons — Proportionality)

(2019/C 172/40)

Language of the case: Greek

Parties

Applicant: Hellenic Republic (represented by: G. Kanellopoulos and A. Vassilopoulou, acting as Agents)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: D. Triantafyllou and A. Sauka, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application under Article 263 TFEU for annulment of Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2017/1144 of 26 June 2017 excluding from European Union financing certain expenditure incurred by the Member States under the European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) and under the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) (OJ 2017 L 165, p. 37), in so far as it imposes on the Hellenic Republic, following the investigation under reference XC/2014/002/GR, one-off and flat-rate financial corrections amounting in total to EUR 1 182 054.17 because of weaknesses in the application of cross compliance (EAGF and EAFRD).

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders the Hellenic Republic to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 357, 23.10.2017.

Judgment of the General Court of 2 April 2019 — Fleig v EEAS(Case T-492/17) ⁽¹⁾

(Civil Service — Contract staff — Contract of indefinite duration — Article 47(c)(i) of the CEOS — Termination with notice — Grounds for termination — Breakdown in the relationship of trust — Interests of the service — Manifest error of assessment — Duty to have regard to the welfare of staff — Principle of sound administration — Articles 30 and 41 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights — Procedural issue — Publication on the Internet of documents included in the file relating to the proceedings before the General Court — Article 17 of the Staff Regulations)

(2019/C 172/41)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Stephan Fleig (Berlin, Germany) (represented by: H. Tettenborn, lawyer)