# Judgment of the General Court of 14 February 2019 — Poland v Commission

(Case T-366/17) (1)

(ERDF — Refusal to confirm a financial contribution to a major project — Article 41(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006 — Assessment of whether a major project is consistent with the priorities of the operational programme — Article 41(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1083/2006 — Time limit exceeded)

(2019/C 131/47)

Language of the case: Polish

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Republic of Poland (represented by: B. Majczyna, Agent)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: B.-R. Killmann, A. Kyratsou and M. Siekierzyńska, Agents)

## Re:

Action under Article 263 TFEU for annulment of Commission Decision C(2017) 1904 final of 24 March 2017 refusing to confirm to the Republic of Poland a financial contribution from the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) to the major project 'Starting production of a next-generation diesel engine by Volkswagen Motor Polska' in the context of the Priority Axis IV of operational programme 'Innovative Economy'.

#### Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders the Republic of Poland to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 249, 31.7.2017.

Judgment of the General Court of 14 February 2019 — Giove Gas v EUIPO — Primagaz (KALON AL CENTRO DELLA FAMIGLIA)

(Case T-34/18) (1)

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for EU figurative mark KALON AL CENTRO DELLA FAMIGLIA — Earlier EU word mark CALOON — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001)

(2019/C 131/48)

Language of the case: English

## Parties

Applicant: Giove Gas Srl (Tarquinia, Italy) (represented by: A. Bergonzini and F. Dinelli, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: J. Crespo Carrillo and H. O'Neill, acting as Agents)