

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: L. Armati, C. Georgieva-Kecsmar and T. Maxian Rusche, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application on the basis of Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment in part of Commission Decision (EU) 2017/1470 of 2 February 2017 on State aid schemes SA.26763 2014/C (ex 2012/NN) implemented by France in favour of bus transport undertakings in the Île-de-France Region (OJ 2017 L 209, p. 24).

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the application;*
2. *Orders Ceobus and the other applicants whose names are listed in the annex to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission.*

(¹) OJ C 231, 17.7.2017.

Judgment of the General Court of 12 July 2019 — Steifer v EESC

(Case T-331/17) (¹)

(Civil service — Officials — Pensions — Pension entitlement acquired before entry into service of the EU — Transfer to the EU scheme — Grant of additional seniority — Reimbursement of the amount of pension entitlement not taken into account in the scheme for the calculation of annuities of pension of the EU — No new and substantial facts — No excusable error — Liability — Inadmissibility)

(2019/C 328/48)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Guy Steifer (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: M.-A Lucas and M. Bertha, lawyers)

Defendant: European Economic and Social Committee (represented by: M. Pascua Mateo, K. Gambino and L. Camarena Januzec, acting and Agents, assisted by M. Troncoso Ferrer and F.-M. Hislaire, lawyers)

Re:

Application pursuant to Article 270 TFEU seeking, first, to annul the decision of 21 October 2002 of the Director of Human and Financial Resources of the EESC rejecting the applicant's request of 2 October 2002 for reimbursement, together with applicable interest, of the part of his Belgian pension rights not credited on transfer to the EU pension scheme and Decision No 360/03 A of that Director of 15 December 2003 fixing the applicant's entitlement to a retirement pension, second, to order the EESC to reimburse the applicant the amount of the periodic annuity payments paid by the Belgian National Pensions Office to the EESC since 1 January 2004 as a result of the transfer of his pension rights and to reimburse the applicant each month the amount of the periodic annuity payments to be paid in the future and, third, compensation for the harm allegedly suffered by the applicant as a result of one of the grounds of that decision by which the Director wrongly informed him that he had no right to a Belgian pension.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action as inadmissible;*
2. *Orders Guy Steifer to pay the costs.*

(¹) OJ C 231, 17.7.2017.

Judgment of the General Court of 12 July 2019 — STIF-IDF v Commission

(Case T-738/17) (¹)

(State aid — Aid scheme unlawfully implemented by France between 1994 and 2008 — Investment subsidies awarded by the STIF-IDF — Decision declaring the aid scheme compatible with the internal market — Advantage — Offsetting of costs involved in the discharging of public service obligations — Article 107(1), TFEU — Obligation to state reasons)

(2019/C 328/49)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Syndicat Transport Île de France (STIF-IDF) (Paris, France) (represented by: B. Le Bret and C. Rydzynski, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: L. Armati, C. Georgieva-Kecsmar and T. Maxian Rusche, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application on the basis of Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment in part of Commission Decision (EU) 2017/1470 of 2 February 2017 on State aid schemes SA.26763 2014/C (ex 2012/NN) implemented by France in favour of bus transport undertakings in the Île-de-France Region (OJ 2017 L 209, p. 24).

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the application.*
2. *Orders Syndicat Transport Île de France (STIF-IDF) to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission.*

(¹) OJ C 22, 22.1.2018.