



## Reports of Cases

### Order of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 21 November 2017 — VE

(Case C-232/17)<sup>1</sup>

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Article 53(2) and Article 94 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice — Consumer protection — Directive 93/13/EEC — Unfair terms in consumer contracts — Credit agreement denominated in a foreign currency — Lack of sufficient information concerning the factual and legal context of the dispute in the main proceedings and the reasons justifying the need for a reply to the questions referred — Manifest inadmissibility)

1. *Questions referred for a preliminary ruling — Admissibility — Need to provide the Court with sufficient information on the factual and legislative context — Statement of the reasons for requiring an answer to the questions referred for a preliminary ruling*

(Art. 267 TFEU; Statute of the Court of Justice, Art. 23; Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice, Art. 94)

(see paras 16-18)

2. *Questions referred for a preliminary ruling — Jurisdiction of the Court — Limits — General or hypothetical questions — Manifest inadmissibility*

(Art. 267 TFEU)

(see para. 24)

### Operative part

The request for a preliminary ruling from the Budai Központi Kerületi Bíróság (Central District Court, Buda, Hungary), by decision of 10 April 2017, is manifestly inadmissible.

<sup>1</sup> OJ C 256, 7.8.2017.