

2. If the previous question is answered in the affirmative and the Court of Justice considers [the increment] to be an employment condition for the purposes of Clause 4(1) of the Framework Agreement, is the difference in remuneration justified on objective grounds?

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Council Directive 1999/70/EC of 28 June 1999 concerning the framework agreement on fixed-term work concluded by ETUC, UNICE and CEEP.  
OJ 1999 L 175, p. 43.

---

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Conseil d'État (France) lodged on 29 May 2017 — Marle Participations SARL v Ministère de l'Économie et des Finances**

(Case C-320/17)

(2017/C 269/14)

*Language of the case: French*

**Referring court**

Conseil d'État (Council of State, France)

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicant:* Marle Participations SARL

*Defendant:* Ministère de l'Économie et des Finances

**Question referred**

The Court of Justice is asked to rule on the question as to whether — and, if so, under what conditions — the letting of buildings by a holding company to a subsidiary constitutes a direct or indirect involvement in the management of that subsidiary the effect of which being that the acquisition and holding of shares in that subsidiary are considered economic activities within the meaning of the Directive of 28 November 2006 <sup>(1)</sup> on the common system of value added tax.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> Council Directive 2006/112/EC of 28 November 2006 on the common system of value added tax (OJ 2006 L 347, p. 1).

---

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Varhoven kasatsionen sad (Bulgaria) lodged on 6 June 2017 — Neli Valcheva v Georgios Babanarakis**

(Case C-335/17)

(2017/C 269/15)

*Language of the case: Bulgarian*

**Referring court**

Varhoven kasatsionen sad

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicant:* Neli Valcheva

*Defendant:* Georgios Babanarakis

**Question referred**

Is the concept of 'rights of access' used in Article 1(2)(a) and Article 2(10) of Council Regulation (EC) No 2201/2003 <sup>(1)</sup> of 27 November 2003 concerning jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in matrimonial matters and the matters of parental responsibility to be interpreted as encompassing not only access between the parents and the child but also the child's access to relatives other than the parents, that is to say the grandparents?

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ 2003 L 338, p. 1.