

**Operative part of the order**

*The Court of Justice of the European Union manifestly lacks jurisdiction to answer the questions referred by the Tribunal da Relação do Porto (Court of Appeal, Oporto, Portugal).*

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 168, 29.5.2017.

---

**Order of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 21 November 2017 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Budai Központi Kerületi Bíróság — Hungary) — VE v WD**

(Case C-232/17) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Article 53(2) and Article 94 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice — Consumer protection — Directive 93/13/EEC — Unfair terms in consumer contracts — Credit agreement denominated in a foreign currency — Lack of sufficient information concerning the factual and legal context of the dispute in the main proceedings and the reasons justifying the need for a reply to the questions referred — Manifest inadmissibility)*

(2018/C 032/11)

Language of the case: Hungarian

**Referring court**

Budai Központi Kerületi Bíróság

**Parties to the main proceedings**

Applicant: VE

Defendant: WD

**Operative part of the order**

*The request for a preliminary ruling from the Budai Központi Kerületi Bíróság (Central District Court, Buda, Hungary), by decision of 10 April 2017, is manifestly inadmissible.*

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 256, 7.8.2017.

---

**Order of the Court of 16 November 2017 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Supremo Tribunal Administrativo — Portugal) — Instituto de Financiamento da Agricultura e Pescas, IP v António da Silva Rodrigues**

(Case C-243/17) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Article 53(2) and Article 99 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court — Regulation (EC) No 1260/1999 — Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 2988/95 — Article 3(1) — Protection of the European Union's financial interests — Concept of 'multiannual programme' — Scope of application)*

(2018/C 032/12)

Language of the case: Portuguese

**Referring court**

Supremo Tribunal Administrativo