

Action brought on 26 August 2016 — FTI Touristik v EUIPO — Prantner and Giersch (Fl)**(Case T-475/16)**

(2016/C 383/30)

*Language in which the application was lodged: German***Parties***Applicant:* FTI Touristik GmbH (Munich, Germany) (represented by: A. Parr, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)*Other parties to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Harald Prantner (Hamburg, Germany) and Daniel Giersch (Monaco, Monaco)**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO***Proprietor of the mark at issue:* the other parties to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal*Mark at issue:* EU figurative mark with the word element 'Fl' — Application No 12 201 273*Proceedings before EUIPO:* opposition proceedings*Contested decision:* Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 16 June 2016 in Case R 480/2015-5**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs of the proceedings.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 30 August 2016 — Colgate-Palmolive v EUIPO (AROMASENSATIONS)**(Case T-479/16)**

(2016/C 383/31)

*Language of the case: English***Parties***Applicant:* Colgate-Palmolive Co. (New York, New York, United States) (represented by: M. Zintler, A. Stolz, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO***Trade mark at issue:* EU figurative mark containing the word element 'AROMASENSATIONS' — Application for registration No 14 198 824*Contested decision:* Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 6 June 2016 in Case R 2482/2015-2

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision to the extent that it dismisses the appeal insofar as it is based on Article 7(1)(b);
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of principles of equal treatment and sound administration.

Action brought on 31 August 2016 — sheepworld v EUIPO (Alles wird gut)**(Case T-622/16)**

(2016/C 383/32)

*Language of the case: German***Parties**

Applicant: sheepworld AG (Ursensollen, Germany) (represented by: S. von Rüden, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Mark at issue: EU figurative mark 'Alles wird gut' — Application No 14 170 062

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 16 June 2016 in Case R 212/2016-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs, including those of the proceedings before the Board of Appeal.

Plea in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 31 August 2016 — Volkswagen v EUIPO — Paalupaikka (MAIN AUTO WHEELS)**(Case T-623/16)**

(2016/C 383/33)

*Language in which the application was lodged: German***Parties**

Applicant: Volkswagen AG (Wolfsburg, Germany) (represented by: H. Schrammek, C. Drzymalla, S. Risthaus, and J. Engberding, lawyers)