

2. Second plea in law, alleging that the applicant suffered real and certain loss as a result of the alleged conduct of the Commission in calling into question the applicant's moral and professional integrity.

---

**Action brought on 13 July 2016 — Sabre GLBL v EUIPO (INSTASITE)**

**(Case T-375/16)**

(2016/C 326/52)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Sabre GLBL, Inc. (Southlake, Texas, United States) (represented by: J. Zecher, lawyer)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO**

*Trade mark at issue:* EU word mark 'INSTASITE' — Application for registration No 13 882 162

*Contested decision:* Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 27 April 2016 in Case R 1742/2015-2

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order the defendant to pay the costs.

**Plea in law**

Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation No 207/2009.

---

**Action brought on 20 July 2016 — Tri-Ocean Trading/Council**

**(Case T-384/16)**

(2016/C 326/53)

*Language of the case: English*

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Tri-Ocean Trading (George Town, Cayman Islands) (represented by: P. Saini, QC, R. Mehta, Barrister, and N. Sheikh, Solicitor)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul, insofar as it applies to the applicant, Council Decision (CFSP) 2016/850 of 27 May 2016 amending Decision 2013/255/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Syria (OJ 2016 L 141, p. 125),
- annul, insofar as it applies to the applicant, Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/840 of 27 May 2016 implementing Regulation (EU) No 36/2012 concerning restrictive measures in view of the situation in Syria (OJ 2016 L 141, p. 30), and
- order the Council to pay the applicant's costs.