

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Citizen Systems Europe GmbH (Stuttgart, Germany)

#### **Details of the proceedings before EUIPO**

*Applicant:* Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

*Trade mark at issue:* European Union word mark 'SDC-444S' – Application for registration No 12 780 061

*Procedure before EUIPO:* Opposition proceedings

*Contested decision:* Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 1 April 2016 in Case R 1573/2015-2

#### **Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

#### **Pleas in law**

- Infringement of Article 8(4) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 52(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 76(1) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Rule 50(1) of Regulation No 2868/95.

---

**Action brought on 20 June 2016 — Bundesverband Deutsche Tafel v EUIPO — Tiertafel Deutschland  
(Tafel)**

**(Case T-326/16)**

**(2016/C 287/39)**

*Language in which the application was lodged: German*

#### **Parties**

*Applicant:* Bundesverband Deutsche Tafel e.V. (Berlin, Germany) (represented by: T. Koerl, E. Celenk and S. Vollmer, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Tiertafel Deutschland e.V. (Rathenow, Germany)

**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO**

*Proprietor of the trade mark at issue:* Applicant

*Trade mark at issue:* EU word mark 'Tafel' — EU trade mark No 8 985 541

*Procedure before EUIPO:* Invalidity proceedings

*Contested decision:* Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 4 April 2016 in Case R 248/2016-4

**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs.

**Pleas in law**

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of 65(6) of Regulation No 207/2009.

---

**Action brought on 24 June 2016 — Aldi Einkauf v EUIPO — Fratelli Polli (ANTICO CASALE)**

**(Case T-327/16)**

**(2016/C 287/40)**

*Language in which the application was lodged:* German

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Aldi Einkauf GmbH & Co. OHG (Essen, Germany) (represented by: N. Lützenrath, U. Rademacher, C. Fürsen and N. Bertram, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal:* Fratelli Polli, SpA (Milan, Italy)

**Details of the proceedings before EUIPO**

*Proprietor of the trade mark at issue:* Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal

*Trade mark at issue:* EU word mark 'ANTICO CASALE' — EU trade mark No 10 531 432

*Proceedings before EUIPO:* Invalidity proceedings

*Contested decision:* Decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 13 April 2016 in Case R 1337/2015-2