Action brought on 23 May 2016 — Trost Auto Service Technik v EUIPO (AUTOSERVICE.COM) (Case T-259/16)

(2016/C 251/51)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Trost Auto Service Technik SE (Stuttgart, Germany) (represented by: P. Kohl, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Trade mark at issue: EU figurative mark containing the word elements 'AUTOSERVICE.COM' — Application for registration No 13 593 678

Contested decision: Decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 14 March 2016 in Case R 1770/2015-4

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order EUIPO to pay the costs, including the costs incurred in the course of the appeal proceedings.

Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(c) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Action brought on 30 May 2016 – Pempe v EUIPO – Marshall Amplification (THOMAS MARSHALL GARMENTS OF LEGENDS)

(Case T-271/16)

(2016/C 251/52)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: Yusuf Pempe (Créteil, France) (represented by: A. Vivès-Albertini, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Marshall Amplification plc (Milton Keynes, United Kingdom)

Details of the proceedings before EUIPO

Applicant of the trade mark at issue: Applicant