## Judgment of the General Court of 12 September 2017 — Siragusa v Council

(Case T-678/16 P) (1)

(Appeal — Civil Service — Officials — Leaving the service — Request to retire — Amendment of the Staff Regulations after that request had been made — Withdrawal of an earlier decision — Legal classification of the contested decision)

(2017/C 357/15)

Language of the case: French

#### **Parties**

Appellant: Sergio Siragusa (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by T. Bontinck and A. Guillerme, lawyers)

Other parties to the proceedings: Council of the European Union (represented by: M. Bauer and M. Veiga, acting as Agents) and European Parliament (represented by: M. Dean and D. Nessaf, acting as Agents)

#### Re:

Appeal brought against the order of the European Union Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 13 July 2016, Siragusa v Council (F-124/15, EU:F:2016:147), seeking to have that order set aside.

#### Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Sets aside the order of the European Union Civil Service Tribunal (First Chamber) of 13 July 2016, Siragusa v Council (F-124/15);
- 2. Refers the case to a chamber of the General Court other than that which has ruled on the present appeal;
- 3. Reserves the costs.

(1) OJ C 419, 14.11.2016.

Order of the General Court of 8 September 2017 — Louvers Belgium v Commission

(Case T-835/16) (1)

(Action for annulment and compensation — Public goods and services contracts — Procurement procedure — Supply of curtains, draperies and interior blinds and fitting, cleaning and maintenance services — Rejection of a tenderer's bid — Annulment of the procedure — No need to adjudicate — Action manifestly devoid of any foundation in law)

(2017/C 357/16)

Language of the case: French

## Parties

Applicant: Louvers Belgium Co. (Zaventem, Belgium) (represented by: V. Lejeune, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: O. Verheecke and A. Katsimerou, acting as Agents)

# Re:

Firstly, application on the basis of Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of the decision of the Commission of 19 September 2016 not to award contract No OIB.02/PO/2016/012/703 to the applicant and, secondly, application based on Article 268 TFEU seeking damages in compensation for the loss allegedly suffered by the applicant due to the unlawful conduct of the Commission in that procurement procedure.

## Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the application for annulment of the decision of the Commission of 19 September 2016 not to award contract No OIB.02/PO/2016/012/703 to Louvers Belgium Co. or on the application for damages insofar as it concerns the alleged loss of earnings resulting of the non-award of the contract.
- 2. The application for damages is rejected as manifestly devoid of any foundation in law insofar as it concerns the costs and expenses of Louvers Belgium Co. involved in the participation of Louvers Belgium Co. in procurement procedure OIB.02/PO/2016/012/703.
- 3. The European Commission shall pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 30, 30.1.2017.

# Order of the President of the General Court of 29 August 2017 — Verband der Deutschen Biokraftstoffindustrie v Commission

(Case T-451/17 R)

(Application for interim measures — Calculation of greenhouse gas emissions — Biodiesel — European Commission Communication BK/abd/ener.c.1(2017)2122195 — Application for suspension of operation of a measure — No urgency)

(2017/C 357/17)

Language of the case: German

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Verband der Deutschen Biokraftstoffindustrie e.V. (Berlin, Germany) (represented by: R. Stein, P. Friton and H.-J. Prieß, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Becker, J.-F. Brakeland and K. Talabér-Ritz, acting as Agents)

### Re:

Application for interim measures based on Articles 278 TFEU and 279 TFEU, seeking suspension of the operation of Commission Communication BK/abd/ener.c.1(2017)2122195 of 27 April 2017.

## Operative part of the order

- 1. The application for interim measures is dismissed.
- 2. The costs are reserved.

Action brought on 18 August 2017 — PC v EASO

(Case T-181/17)

(2017/C 357/18)

Language of the case: Finnish

#### **Parties**

Applicant: PC (represented by: L. Railas, lawyer)

Defendant: European Asylum Support Office (EASO)