

**Operative part of the order**

1. *The action is dismissed.*
2. *L'Oréal shall pay the costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 222, 20.6.2016.

---

**Order of the General Court of 26 June 2017 — L'Oréal v EUIPO — Guinot (MASTER DRAMA)**  
(Case T-183/16) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for EU word mark MASTER DRAMA — Prior national figurative mark MASTERS COLORS PARIS — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Action manifestly unfounded in law — Article 126 of the Rules of Procedure)*

(2017/C 269/28)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* L'Oréal (Paris, France) (represented by: T. de Haan and P. Péters, lawyers)

*Defendant:* European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: D. Hanf, acting as Agent)

*Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO intervening before the General Court:* Guinot (Paris) (represented by: A. Sion, lawyer)

**Re:**

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 23 February 2016 (Case R 2500/2014-5) concerning opposition proceedings between Guinot and L'Oréal.

**Operative part of the order**

1. *The action is dismissed.*
2. *L'Oréal shall pay the costs.*

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 222, 20.6.2016.

---

**Order of the General Court of 21 June 2017 — Inox Mare v Commission**  
(Case T-289/16) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Action for annulment — Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 883/2013 — External investigation conducted by OLAF — Report and recommendations — Measures not amenable to challenge — Inadmissible)*

(2017/C 269/29)

Language of the case: Italian

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Inox Mare Srl (Rimini, Italy) (represented by: R. Holzeisen, lawyer)