

Order of the General Court of 2 June 2016 — Rabbit v EUIPO — DMG Media (rabbit)**(Case T-4/16) ⁽¹⁾****(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Withdrawal of the opposition — No need to adjudicate)**

(2016/C 305/52)

*Language of the case: English***Parties**

Applicant: Rabbit, Inc. (Redwood City, California, United States) (represented by: M. Engelman, Barrister, and J. Stephenson, Solicitor)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: H. O'Neill, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO: DMG Media Ltd (London, United Kingdom)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 26 October 2015 (Case R 2133/2014-2), relating to opposition proceedings between DMG Media Ltd and Rabbit, Inc.

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *Rabbit, Inc. is ordered to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO).*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 156, 2.5.2016.

Action brought on 24 May 2016 — Kingdom of Sweden v European Commission**(Case T-260/16)**

(2016/C 305/53)

*Language of the case: Swedish***Parties**

Applicant: Kingdom of Sweden (represented by: A. Falk, N. Otte Widgren, C. Meyer-Seitz, U. Persson and L. Swedenborg)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

- First, declare Commission Implementing Decision (EU) 2016/417 of 17 March 2016 (the contested decision) invalid in so far as it provides that financial corrections are to be made at a flat rate of 2 percent equivalent to EUR 8 811 286,44 in decoupled direct aid paid out to Sweden for the 2013 claim year under Council Regulation (EC) No 73/2009 of 19 January 2009 establishing common rules for direct support schemes for farmers under the common agricultural policy and establishing certain support schemes for farmers, amending Regulations (EC) No 1290/2005, (EC) No 247/2006, (EC) No 378/2007 and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1782/2003, and