

Defendant: European Ombudsman (represented by: L. Papadias, P. Dyrberg and A. Antoniadis, acting as Agents, and by A. Duron, lawyer)

Re:

Application on the basis of Article 270 TFEU seeking, firstly, the annulment of the decision to appoint Ms G. to the post of Secretary General of the office of the Ombudsman, of the Ombudsman's decision of 9 November 2015 rejecting the claims brought by the applicant against that decision and of the Ombudsman's decision not to invite him to an interview and, secondly, compensation for the loss which the applicant has allegedly suffered following those decisions

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Mr Costas Popotas and the European Ombudsman each to bear their own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 191, 30.5.2016 (action initially registered before the European Union Civil Service Tribunal under Case number F-10/16 and transferred to the General Court of the European Union on 1.9.2016).

Judgment of the General Court of 22 March 2018 — Agricola J.M. v EUIPO — Miguel Torres (CLOS DE LA TORRE)

(Case T-806/16) ⁽¹⁾

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for EU word mark CLOS DE LA TORRE — Earlier national word mark TORRES — Relative ground for refusal — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001) — Likelihood of confusion)

(2018/C 166/36)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Agricola J.M., SL (Girona, Spain) (represented by: J. Clos Creus, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: J. Crespo Carrillo, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Miguel Torres, SA (Vilafranca del Penedès, Spain) (represented by: J. Güell Serra, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 28 July 2016 (Case R 2099//2015-5) concerning opposition proceedings between Miguel Torres and Agricola J.M.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*

2. *Orders Agricola J.M., SL to bear the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 14, 16.1.2017.

Judgment of the General Court of 22 March 2018 — Dometic Sweden v EUIPO (MOBILE LIVING MADE EASY)

(Case T-235/17) ⁽¹⁾

(EU trade mark — Application for the EU word mark MOBILE LIVING MADE EASY — Absolute ground for refusal — No distinctive character — Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 (now Article 7(1)(b) of Regulation (EU) 2017/1001) — Obligation to state reasons — Article 75 of Regulation No 207/2009 (now Article 94 of Regulation 2017/1001))

(2018/C 166/37)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Dometic Sweden AB (Solna, Sweden) (represented by: R. Furneaux and E. Humphreys, Solicitors)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: G. Sakalaite-Orlovskiene and J. Ivanauskas, acting as Agents)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 13 February 2017 (Case R 1832/2016-2), concerning an application for registration of the word sign MOBILE LIVING MADE EASY as an EU trade mark.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. *Dismisses the action;*
2. *Orders Dometic Sweden AB to pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 195, 19.6.2017.

Order of the General Court of 19 March 2018 — Pio de Bragança v EUIPO — Ordem de São Miguel da Ala (QUIS UT DEUS)

(Case T-229/16) ⁽¹⁾

(EU trade mark — Revocation proceedings — EU figurative mark QUIS UT DEUS — Failure to apply for renewal of registration of the mark — Cancellation of the mark upon expiry of the registration — No need to adjudicate)

(2018/C 166/38)

Language of the case: Portuguese

Parties

Applicant: Duarte Pio de Bragança (Sintra, Portugal) (represented by: J. Sardinha, lawyer)