

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Korkein oikeus (Finland) lodged on 29 March 2016 —
Hannele Hälvä, Sari Naukkarinen, Pirjo Paajanen, Satu Piik v SOS-Lapsikylä ry**

(Case C-175/16)

(2016/C 191/24)

Language of the case: Finnish

Referring court

Korkein oikeus

Parties to the main proceedings

Appellants: Hannele Hälvä, Sari Naukkarinen, Pirjo Paajanen, Satu Piik

Respondent: SOS-Lapsikylä ry

Question referred

Must Article 17(1) of Directive 2003/88/EC ⁽¹⁾ of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning certain aspects of the organisation of working time be interpreted as including within its scope an activity, as described above, performed in a children's home in which the worker acts as the representative of foster parents of children in care on the parents' days off, lives during this period with the children in a family-like setting and during this time independently attends equally to the children's and family's needs, as parents generally do?

⁽¹⁾ OJ 2003 L 299, p. 9.

Appeal brought on 23 March 2016 by Proforec Srl against the order of the General Court (First Chamber) delivered on 21 January 2016 in Case T-120/15 Proforec v Commission

(Case C-176/16 P)

(2016/C 191/25)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Appellant: Proforec Srl (represented by: G. Durazzo, M. Mencoboni, G. Pescatore, avvocati)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission

Form of order sought

- Set aside the order of inadmissibility No 704600 of 21 January 2016 in Case T-120/15 on the grounds set out, which are to be taken to be referred to and reproduced in full.
- Declare the action admissible and refer the case back to the General Court of the European Union for a ruling, following the adoption of any measures it may think fit to take.
- Order the Commission to pay the costs in the present proceedings. If the present appeal should be dismissed (*quod non*), the appellant requests that from now on the parties should bear their own costs.