

The second ground of appeal concerns the misinterpretation and misapplication of the Guidelines (document VI/5530/1997) with respect to whether the conditions for the imposition of a 25 % financial correction are met, misinterpretation and misapplication of Article 296 TFEU and of Articles 43, 44 and 137 of Regulation No 73/2009, insufficient and contradictory reasoning of the judgment under appeal, breach of the principle of equality of arms and alteration of the summary report.

- B. In so far as the judgment under appeal examines the third ground of appeal, concerning the imposition of a 5 % financial correction for weaknesses in the Land Parcel Identification System (LPIS) (paragraphs 141-162 of the judgment under appeal).

The third ground of appeal concerns breach of the principle of legality, of good administration, of the rights of defence of the person concerned, breach of the principle of proportionality, misinterpretation and misapplication of Article 296 TFEU and insufficient reasoning.

- C. In so far as the judgment under appeal examines the fourth ground of appeal, concerning the imposition of a financial correction of 2 % (paragraphs 163-183 of the judgment under appeal).

The fourth ground of appeal concerns the misinterpretation and misapplication of Article 31(2) of Regulation No 1122/2009 and Article 27 of Regulation No 796/2004, inadequate reasoning of the judgment under appeal, as well as a distortion of the content of the application.

- D. In so far as the judgment under appeal examines the fifth ground of appeal, relating to the cross-compliance system (paragraphs 184 to 268 of the judgment under appeal).

The fifth ground of appeal concerns the erroneous interpretation and application of Article 11 of Regulation No 885/2006 and Article 31 of Regulation No 1290/2005, as well as insufficient reasoning of the judgment under appeal.

---

**Order of the President of the Court of 23 January 2018 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Bundesgerichtshof — Germany) — Die Länderbahn GmbH DLB v DB Station & Service AG**

(Case C-344/16) <sup>(1)</sup>

(2018/C 190/23)

*Language of the case: German*

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 428, 21.11.2016.

---

**Order of the President of the Court of 16 February 2018 — Council of the European Union v PT Wilmar Bioenergi Indonesia, PT Wilmar Nabati Indonesia, European Commission, European Biodiesel Board (EBB)**

(Case C-603/16 P) <sup>(1)</sup>

(2018/C 190/24)

*Language of the case: English*

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 30, 31.1.2017.

---