If that question is answered in the negative:

- a. Are Article 56 TFEU and Directive 2014/67/EU of 15 May 2014 on the enforcement of Directive 96/71/EC concerning the posting of workers in the framework of the provision of services and amending Regulation (EU) No 1024/2012 on administrative cooperation through the Internal Market Information System to be interpreted as meaning that they prohibit a Member State from imposing on the domestic customer a payment stop and the payment of a surety equal to the outstanding fee for work rendered if the service provider established in another Member State on whom a fine is to be imposed has no legal remedy against the imposition of a surety on the service provider established in another Member State in proceedings for the imposition of a surety and if the domestic customer's appeal against that decision has no suspensory effect?
- b. Are Article 56 TFEU and Directive 2014/67/EU of 15 May 2014 on the enforcement of Directive 96/71/EC concerning the posting of workers in the framework of the provision of services and amending Regulation (EU) No 1024/2012 on administrative cooperation through the Internal Market Information System to be interpreted as meaning that they prohibit a Member State from imposing on the domestic customer a payment stop and the payment of a surety equal to the outstanding fee for work rendered solely because the service provider is established in another Member State?
- c. Are Article 56 TFEU and Directive 2014/67/EU of 15 May 2014 on the enforcement of Directive 96/71/EC concerning the posting of workers in the framework of the provision of services and amending Regulation (EU) No 1024/2012 on administrative cooperation through the Internal Market Information System to be interpreted as meaning that they prohibit a Member State from imposing on the domestic customer a payment stop and the payment of a surety equal to the outstanding fee for work rendered even though the fee is not yet due and the amount of the final fee has not yet been determined on account of counter claims and retention rights?

Order of the President of the Court of 30 November 2016 (request for a preliminary ruling from the High Court of Justice Queen's Bench Division (Administrative Court) — United Kingdom) — The Queen, on the application of: Prospector Offshore Drilling SA and Others v Her Majesty's Treasury, Commissioners for Her Majesty's Revenue and Customs

(Case C-72/16) (1) (2017/C 086/25) Language of the case: English

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

(1) OJ C 136, 18.4.2016.

Order of the President of the Court of 6 December 2016 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Högsta domstolen — Sweden) — Riksåklagaren v Zenon Robert Akarsar

(Case C-148/16) (1)

(2017/C 086/26)

Language of the case: Swedish

The President of the Court has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

(1) OJ C 175, 17.5.2016.