

Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 20 February 2018 — Kingdom of Belgium v European Commission

(Case C-16/16 P) ⁽¹⁾

(Appeal — Consumer protection — Online gambling services — Protection of consumers and players and prevention of minors from gambling online — Commission Recommendation 2014/478/EU — EU act which is not legally binding — Article 263 TFEU)

(2018/C 134/03)

Language of the case: Dutch

Parties

Appellant: Kingdom of Belgium (represented by: L. Van den Broeck, M. Jacobs and J. Van Holm, acting as Agents, assisted by P. Vlaemminck, B. Van Vooren, R. Verbeke and J. Auwerx, advocaten)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission (represented by: F. Wilman and H. Tserepa-Lacombe, acting as Agents)

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the appeal;
2. Orders the Kingdom of Belgium to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 145, 25.4.2016.

Judgment of the Court (Third Chamber) of 22 February 2018 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunal Superior de Justicia de Cataluña — Spain) — Jessica Porrás Guisado v Bankia SA and Others

(Case C-103/16) ⁽¹⁾

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Social policy — Directive 92/85/EEC — Measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health of pregnant workers and workers who have recently given birth or are breastfeeding — Article 2(a) — Article 10(1) to (3) — Prohibition of dismissal of a worker during the period from the beginning of her pregnancy to the end of her maternity leave — Scope — Exceptional cases not connected with the pregnant worker's condition — Directive 98/59/EC — Collective redundancies — Article 1(1)(a) — Reasons not related to the individual workers concerned — Pregnant worker dismissed in the context of a collective redundancy procedure — Reasons for the dismissal — Priority for retention of the post of the pregnant worker — Priority for redeployment)

(2018/C 134/04)

Language of the case: Spanish

Referring court

Tribunal Superior de Justicia de Cataluña