## Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;
- order OHIM to pay the costs of the proceedings.

### Pleas in law

— Infringement of Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation No 207/2009.

Appeal brought on 16 September 2015 by Fernando De Esteban Alonso against the order of the Civil Service Tribunal of 15 July 2015 in Case F-35/15, De Esteban Alonso v Commission

(Case T-557/15 P)

(2015/C 371/45)

Language of the case: French

#### **Parties**

Appellant: Fernando De Esteban Alonso (Saint-Martin-de-Seignanx, France) (represented by: C. Huglo, lawyer)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission

## Form of order sought by the appellant

The appellant claims that the Court should:

- set aside order F-35/15 of 15 July 2015, by which the President of the European Union Civil Service Tribunal dismissed his application;
- set aside the decision of the Appointing Authority of 21 November 2014, received on 3 December 2014, rejecting Complaint No R/865/14 brought by the appellant on 5 August 2014;
- order the European Commission to pay the sum of EUR 17 242,51, adjusted to the sum of EUR 24 242,51 on the date of the appeal;
- order the European Commission to pay the sum of EUR 3 000 in respect of the non-recoverable costs, to be adjusted if necessary, and to pay all the costs.

### Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the appeal, the appellant relies on three pleas in law.

1. First plea in law, alleging a denial of justice, in that the Civil Service Tribunal ('the CST') gave its decision by means of an order, without permitting a fresh exchange of pleadings or a public hearing.

- 2. Second plea in law, alleging an infringement of the principle of *audi alteram partem* and of the rights of defence, in that the CST gave its decision by means of an order, without permitting a fresh exchange of pleadings or a public hearing.
- 3. Third plea in law, alleging an error of law, in that the CST added a new condition to the conditions laid down for institutional assistance by Article 24 of the Staff Regulations of Officials of the European Union.

# Action brought on 24 September 2015 — Paglieri Sell System v OHIM (APOTEKE)

(Case T-563/15)

(2015/C 371/46)

Language of the case: Italian

### **Parties**

Applicant: Paglieri Sell System SpA (Pozzolo Formigaro, Italy) (represented by: P. Pozzi and F. Braga, lawyers)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)

# Details of the proceedings before OHIM

Trade mark at issue: Community figurative mark containing the word element 'APOTEKE' — Application for registration No 13 014 691

Contested decision: Decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 23 July 2015 in Case R 2428/2014-5

## Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- declare that there was a breach and incorrect application of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) and (2) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- declare that there was a breach of Article 75 of Regulation No 207/2009;
- annul the contested decision;
- order OHIM to pay the costs and fees incurred for the present proceedings.

## Pleas in law

- Infringement of Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 7(2) of Regulation No 207/2009;
- Infringement of Article 75 of Regulation No 207/2009.