

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant raises three pleas in law which are, in essence, identical or similar to those raised in Case T-432/11 in *Makhlouf v Council* ⁽¹⁾.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 290, p. 13.

Action brought on 11 August 2015 — Syriatel Mobile Telecom v Council

(Case T-471/15)

(2015/C 337/41)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Syriatel Mobile Telecom (Joint Stock Company) (Damascus, Syria) (represented by: E. Ruchat, lawyer)

Defendant: Council of the European Union

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Declare the applicant's action admissible and well founded;
- Consequently, annul Decision (CFSP) 2015/837 of 28 May 2015 and the subsequent measures implementing it, in so far as they relate to the applicant;
- Order the Council of the European Union to pay the costs of the proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant raises three pleas in law which are, in essence, identical or similar to those raised in Case T-432/11 in *Makhlouf v Council* ⁽¹⁾.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 290, p. 13.

Action brought on 19 August 2015 — European Food v OHIM — Société des Produits Nestlé (FITNESS)

(Case T-476/15)

(2015/C 337/42)

Language in which the application was lodged: English

Parties

Applicant: European Food SA (Drăgănești, Romania) (represented by: I. Speciac, lawyer)

Defendant: Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (OHIM)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal: Société des Produits Nestlé SA (Vevey, Switzerland)