

**Action brought on 11 August 2015 — Bena Properties v Council****(Case T-469/15)**

(2015/C 337/39)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* Bena Properties Co. SA (Damascus, Syria) (represented by: E. Ruchat, lawyer)*Defendant:* Council of the European Union**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Declare the applicant's action admissible and well founded;
- Consequently, annul Decision (CFSP) 2015/837 of 28 May 2015 and the subsequent measures implementing it, in so far as they relate to the applicant;
- Order the Council of the European Union to pay the costs of the proceedings.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

In support of the action, the applicant raises three pleas in law which are, in essence, identical or similar to those raised in Case T-432/11 in *Makhlouf v Council* <sup>(1)</sup>.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 290, p. 13.

---

**Action brought on 11 August 2015 — Cham v Council****(Case T-470/15)**

(2015/C 337/40)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* Cham Holding (Damascus, Syria) (represented by: E. Ruchat, lawyer)*Defendant:* Council of the European Union**Form of order sought**

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- Declare the applicant's action admissible and well founded;
- Consequently, annul Decision (CFSP) 2015/837 of 28 May 2015 and the subsequent measures implementing it, in so far as they relate to the applicant;
- Order the Council of the European Union to pay the costs of the proceedings.