

Action brought on 23 May 2017 — Steifer v EESC**(Case T-331/17)**

(2017/C 231/68)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* Guy Steifer (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: M.-A. Lucas, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Economic and Social Committee**Form of order sought**

- Annul the decision of 21 October 2002 of the Director of Human and Financial Resources of the EESC rejecting the applicant's request of 2 October 2002 for reimbursement, together with applicable interest, of the part of his Belgian pension rights not credited on transfer to the Community pension scheme;
- Annul the notice of assessment of the applicant's entitlement to a retirement pension, determined by Decision No 360/03 A of 15 December 2003, insofar as it ruled out or failed to provide for reimbursement of periodic annuity payments corresponding to his retirement pension which are paid by the Office national des pensions (National Pensions Office; 'ONP') of the Kingdom of Belgium to the bank account of the EESC as from 1 January 2004 as a result of the transfer of his pension rights;
- Order the EESC to reimburse the applicant the amount of the periodic annuity payments paid by the ONP to the EESC since 1 January 2004 as a result of the transfer of his pension rights, together with late-payment interest at the rate set by the ECB for its main refinancing operations, increased by two points, from the date on which those reimbursements ought to have been made until payment in full;
- Order the EESC to reimburse the applicant each month the amount of the periodic annuity payments to be paid in the future by the ONP to the bank account of the EESC;
- Order the EESC to pay the costs.

Pleas in law and main arguments

In support of the action, the applicant relies on a single plea in law, alleging infringement of the principle of unjust enrichment of the European Union, the right to property and Article 11(2) of Annex VIII to the Staff Regulations of Officials interpreted in the light of its objectives, insofar as the contested decisions rule out or fail to provide for the applicant to be entitled to reimbursement of the amount of his national pension which has not contributed to the establishment of his pension from the European Union.

Order of the General Court of 3 May 2017 — Facebook v EUIPO — Brand IP Licensing (lovebook)**(Case T-757/15)⁽¹⁾**

(2017/C 231/69)

Language of the case: English

The President of the Eighth Chamber has ordered that the case be removed from the register.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 68, 22.2.2016.
