Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: L. Rampini, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO intervening before the General Court: Cyrus Wellness Consulting GmbH (Berlin, Germany) (represented by: A. Wulff and U. Hildebrandt, lawyers)

### Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 12 June 2015 (Joined Cases R 1937/2014-2 and R 1564/2014-2), relating to opposition proceedings between Cyrus Wellness Consulting GmbH and LG Electronics, Inc.

#### Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.
- 2. LG Electronics, Inc., is ordered to bear its own costs and those incurred by the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) and Cyrus Wellness Consulting GmbH.
- (1) OJ C 371, 9.11.2015.

# Order of the General Court of 27 March 2017 — Frank v Commission

(Case T-603/15) (1)

(Action for annulment — Horizon 2020 Framework Programme for Research and Innovation — Calls for proposals and related activities under the ERC Work Programme 2015 — Decision of the ERCEA declaring the proposal submitted by the applicant ineligible — Implied decision of the Commission rejecting the administrative appeal relating to the decision of the ERCEA — Incorrect designation of the defendant — Inadmissibility)

(2017/C 178/25)

Language of the case: German

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Regine Frank (Bonn, Germany) (represented by: initially W. Trautner, subsequently by E. Niitväli and M. Reysen, then by E. Niitväli, M. Reysen and S. Wachs, and finally S. Conrad, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: R. Lyal and B. Conte, acting as agents)

# Re:

Application based on Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of the decision of the European Research Council Executive Agency (ERCEA) of 5 June 2015 relating, in the context of the 'ERC starting grant' programme, to proposal No 680151 of the applicant — which was not given a positive evaluation during step 1 and was not admitted to step 2 of the evaluation — and the Commission's implied decision rejecting the applicant's administrative appeal pursuant to Article 22 (1) of Council Regulation (EC) No 58/2003 of 19 December 2002 laying down the statute for executive agencies to be entrusted with certain tasks in the management of Community programmes (OJ 2003 L 11, p. 1).

## Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed as inadmissible.
- 2. Ms Regine Frank and the European Commission shall bear their own costs.
- (1) OJ C 48, 8.2.2016.