

Order of the General Court of 25 October 2017 — Novartis Europharm v Commission(Case T-511/14) ⁽¹⁾**(Medicinal products for human use — Marketing authorisation for the medicinal product Zoledronic acid Teva Generics — Zoledronic acid — Period of protection of the medicinal product Aclasta containing the active substance zoledronic acid — Withdrawal of the contested measure — No need to adjudicate)**

(2018/C 005/56)

Language of the case: English

Parties*Applicant:* Novartis Europharm Ltd (Camberley, United Kingdom) (represented by: C. Schoonderbeek, lawyer)*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: A. Sipos and M. Wilderspin, Agents)**Re:**

Action brought under Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment of Commission Implementing Decision C(2014) 2155 final of 27 March 2014 granting a marketing authorisation to Teva Generics BV for the medicinal product for human use Zoledronic acid Teva Generics — Zoledronic Acid under Article 3 of Regulation (EC) No 726/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 31 March 2004 laying down Community procedures for the authorisation and supervision of medicinal products for human and veterinary use and establishing a European Medicines Agency (OJ 2004 L 136, p. 1).

Operative part of the order

1. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.*
2. *There is no longer any need to adjudicate on Teva BV's application for leave to intervene.*
3. *Each party shall bear its own costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 388, 3.11.2014.

Order of the General Court of 26 October 2017 — Federcaccia della Regione Liguria and Others v Commission(Case T-570/15) ⁽¹⁾**(Environment — Conservation of wild birds — Species which may be hunted — Conditions to be complied with by national laws on hunting — Harmonisation of the criteria for the application of Article 7(4) of Directive 2009/147/EC — Closed period of hunting in Liguria)**

(2018/C 005/57)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties*Applicants:* Federcaccia della Regione Liguria (Genoa, Italy) and the ten other applicants whose names are set out in the annex to the order (represented by: A. Bruni, P. Balletti and A. Mozzati, lawyers)*Defendant:* European Commission (represented by: G. Gattinara and C. Hermes, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Article 265 TFEU seeking a declaration that the Commission unlawfully failed to update certain Italian data in the document on key concepts, established by the ORNIS Committee, which is provided for by Directive 2009/147/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 November 2009 on the conservation of wild birds (OJ 2010 L 20, p. 7); application based on Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment of the Commission's letter of 6 October 2014 stating that the extension in Italy of the hunting season for certain species of bird is incompatible with EU law; and application based on Article 268 TFEU seeking compensation for damage allegedly suffered by the applicants as a result of the Commission's failure to update the Italian data.

Operative part of the order

1. *The action is dismissed.*
2. *Federcaccia della Regione Liguria and the other applicants whose names are set out in the annex shall pay the costs.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 381, 16.11.2015.

Order of the General Court of 23 October 2017 — Karp v Parliament

(Case T-833/16) ⁽¹⁾

(Action for annulment and for damages — Civil service — Members of the contract staff — Classification — Article 90(2) of the Staff Regulations — Act not open to challenge — Preparatory act — Premature complaint — Failure to follow the pre-litigation procedure — Inadmissibility)

(2018/C 005/58)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Kevin Karp (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by N. Lambers and R. Ben Ammar, lawyers)

Defendant: European Parliament (represented by Í. Ní Riagáin Düro and M. Windisch, acting as Agents)

Re:

ACTION brought under Article 270 TFEU, seeking, first, annulment of the Parliament's decisions classifying the applicant in function group I, grade 1, under the contract as an accredited parliamentary assistant concluded on 25 February 2015, and in function group II, grade 4, step 1, under the contract of employment as contract agent concluded on 12 May 2016 and, secondly, seeking compensation for the damages allegedly suffered by the applicant as a result of those classifications.

Operative part of the order

1. The request for an expedited procedure is dismissed as manifestly inadmissible.
2. The action is dismissed as inadmissible.
3. Mr Kevin Karp is ordered to pay the costs

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 46, 13.2.2017.