## Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no longer any need to adjudicate on the action.
- 2. The Council of the European Union shall pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 146, 4.5.2015.

# Order of the President of the General Court of 1 September 2015 — Pari Pharma v EMA (Case T-235/15 R)

(Application for interim measures — Access to documents — Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 — Documents held by the EMA concerning information submitted by an undertaking as part of its application for authorisation to place a medicinal product on the market — Decision to grant a third party access to the documents — Application for suspension of operation of a measure — Urgency — Prima facie case — Weighing up of interests)

(2015/C 381/50)

Language of the case: English

### **Parties**

Applicant: Pari Pharma GmbH (Starnberg, Germany) (represented by: M. Epping and W. Rehmann, lawyers)

Defendant: European Medicines Agency (EMA) (represented by: T. Jabłoński, N. Rampal Olmedo, A. Rusanov and S. Marino, acting as Agents)

Intervener in support of the defendant: Novartis Europharm Ltd (Camberley, United Kingdom) (represented by: C. Schoonderbeek, lawyer)

### Re:

Application, in essence, for the suspension of operation of Decision EMA/271043/2015 of the EMA of 24 April 2015, granting to a third party, pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents (OJ 2001 L 145, p. 43), access to certain documents containing information submitted in the context of an application for marketing authorisation for the medicinal product Vantobra.

## Operative part of the order

1. The operation of Decision EMA/271043/2015 of the European Medicines Agency (EMA) of 24 April 2015 is suspended, in so far as that decision grants a third party access, pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents, to the assessment report (EMA/CHMP/702525/2014) concerning the similarity of Vantobra with Cayston and TOBI Podhaler and the assessment report (EMA/CHMP/778270/2014) concerning the clinical superiority of Vantobra over TOBI Podhaler.

- 2. The EMA is ordered not to disclose the two reports mentioned in point 1.
- 3. Novartis Europharm Ltd's request for access to the full case file is rejected.
- 4. The costs are reserved.

Order of the President of the General Court of 1 September 2015 — Alcimos Consulting v ECB

(Case T-368/15 R)

(Application for interim measures — Economic and monetary policy — Decisions adopted by the Governing Council of the ECB — Provision of emergency liquidity assistance to Greek banks — Application for suspension of operation of a measure — Breach of procedural requirements — Inadmissibility)

(2015/C 381/51)

Language of the case: English

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Alcimos Consulting SMPC (Athens, Greece) (represented by: F. Rodolaki, avocat)

Defendant: European Central Bank (ECB)

#### Re:

Application for suspension of operation of the decisions of the Governing Council of the European Central Bank of 28 June and 6 July 2015 concerning the level of emergency liquidity assistance provided to Greek banks.

## Operative part of the order

- 1. The application for interim measures is dismissed.
- 2. The costs are reserved.

Order of the President of the General Court of 21 September 2015 — Consorzio Vivaisti viticoli pugliesi and Negro v Commission

(Case T-436/15 R)

(Interim measures — Agriculture — Protective measures against pests of plants — Measures to prevent the introduction into and the spread within the European Union of Xylella fastidiosa — Application for suspension of operation of a measure — Failure to comply with the procedural requirements — Inadmissibility)

(2015/C 381/52)

Language of the case: Italian

## **Parties**

Applicants: Consorzio Vivaisti viticoli pugliesi (Otrante, Italy) and Negro Daniele (Otrante) (represented by: V. Pellegrino and A. Micolani, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: D. Bianchi and I. Galindo Martín, acting as Agents)