# Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Azur Space Solar Power GmbH to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 38, 1.2.2016

Judgment of the General Court of 29 November 2016 — Chic Investments v EUIPO (eSMOKING WORLD)

(Case T-617/15) (1)

(EU trade mark — Application for EU figurative mark eSMOKING WORLD — Absolute grounds for refusal — Descriptive character — Lack of distinctive character — Article 7(1)(b) and (c) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Obligation to state reasons)

(2017/C 022/38)

Language of the case: Polish

#### **Parties**

Applicant: Chic Investments sp. z o.o. (Poznań, Poland) (represented by: K. Jarosiński, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: D. Walicka, acting as Agent)

### Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fifth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 25 June 2015 (R 3227/2014-5) concerning an application for registration of the figurative sign eSMOKING WORLD as an EU trade mark.

# Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Chic Investments sp. z o.o. to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 16, 18.1.2016.

Judgment of the General Court of 6 December 2016 — Tuum v  $\hbox{EUIPO}$  — Thun (TUUM)

(Case T-635/15) (1)

(EU trade mark — Application for EU figurative mark TUUM — Earlier national figurative mark THUN — Relative ground for refusal — No likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2017/C 022/39)

Language of the case: Italian

### **Parties**

Applicant: Tuum Srl (San Giustino, Italy) (represented by: B. Saguatti, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: L. Rampini, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Thun SpA (Bolzano, Italy) (represented by: L. Sergi and G. Muscas, lawyers)

#### Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 3 September 2015 (Case R 2624/2014-1), relating to opposition proceedings between Thun and Tuum.

### Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Annuls the decision of the First Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 3 September 2015 (Case R 2624/2014-1);
- 2. Rejects the opposition;
- 3. Orders EUIPO to bear its own costs and to pay half of the costs incurred by Tuum Srl in the proceedings before the General Court and the Board of Appeal;
- 4. Orders Thun SpA to bear its own costs and to pay half of the costs incurred by Tuum in the proceedings before the General Court and the Board of Appeal.

(1) OJ C 16, 18.1.2016.

Judgment of the General Court of 6 December 2016 — Groupe Go Sport v EUIPO — Design Go (GO SPORT)

(Case T-703/15) (1)

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for an EU word mark GO SPORT — Earlier national word marks GO — Partial refusal of registration by the Opposition Division — Late filing of the statement of grounds of appeal — Inadmissibility of the appeal brought before the Board of Appeal — Article 60 of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Rule 49(1) of Regulation (EC) No 2868/95)

(2017/C 022/40)

Language of the case: English

### **Parties**

Applicant: Groupe Go Sport (Sassenage, France) (represented by: G. Arbant and E. Henry-Mayer, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: D. Gája, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO: Design Go Ltd (London, United Kingdom)

## Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 22 September 2015 (Case R 569/2015-2), relating to opposition proceedings between Design Go and Groupe Go Sport.

## Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;