Judgment of the General Court of 28 September 2016 — LLR-G5 v EUIPO Glycan Finance (SILICIUM ORGANIQUE G5 LLR-G5)

(Case T-539/15) (1)

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for figurative EU trade mark SILICIUM ORGANIQUE G5 LLR-G5 — Earlier international word marks Silicium Organique G5- Glycan 5-Si-Glycan-5-Si-G5 and Silicium Organique G5 — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2016/C 410/25)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: LLR-G5 Ltd (Castlebar, Ireland) (represented by: A. von Mühlendahl and H. Hartwig, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: A. Folliard-Monguiral, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO: Glycan Finance Corp. Ltd (Sheffield, United Kingdom)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 11 June 2015 (Case R 291/2014-1), relating to opposition proceedings between Glycan Finance Corp. and LLR-G5.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action:
- 2. Orders LLR-G5 Ltd to pay the costs.

(1) OJ C 381, 16.11.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 22 September 2016 — Weissenfels v Parliament

(Case T-684/15 P) (1)

(Appeal — Civil service — Officials — Non-contractual liability — Impartiality on the part of the Civil Service Tribunal — Personal data)

(2016/C 410/26)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Appellant: Roderich Weissenfels (Freiburg im Breisgau, Germany) (represented by G. Maximini, lawyer)

Other party to the proceedings: European Parliament (represented by: J. Steele and S. Seyr, Agents)

Re:

Appeal brought against the judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal of the European Union (Second Chamber) of 24 September 2015 in Weissenfels v Parliament (F-92/14, EU:F:2015:110), seeking to have that judgment set aside.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the appeal;
- 2. Orders Mr Roderich Weissenfels to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 59, 15.2.2016.