

Defendant: European Parliament (represented initially by M. Rantala and M. Mraz, and subsequently by J.-M. Stenier, B. Schäfer and M. Mraz, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application based on Article 263 TFEU seeking annulment of the Parliament's decision of 19 March 2015 rejecting the tender submitted by the applicants in the context of the call for tenders INLO-D-UPIL-T-14-AO 4, relating to public works contracts in respect of Lot No 73 (energy unit) for the project to extend and refurbish the Konrad Adenauer building in Luxembourg and awarding that lot to another tenderer.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1) *Dismisses the action;*
- 2) *Orders SA Close and Cegelec, on the one hand, and the European Parliament, on the other, each to bear their own costs, including the costs relating to the application for interim measures.*

(¹) OJ C 236, 20.7.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 12 April 2019 — Deutsche Lufthansa v Commission

(Case T-492/15) (¹)

(Action for annulment — State aid — Measures implemented by Germany in favour of Frankfurt Hahn airport and airlines using that airport — Decision regarding the measures in favour of Frankfurt Hahn airport as State aid compatible with the internal market and finding no State aid in favour of airlines using that airport — Lack of individual concern — Lack of direct concern — Inadmissibility)

(2019/C 187/63)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Deutsche Lufthansa AG (Cologne, Germany) (represented by: A. Martin-Ehlers, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: K. Herrmann, T. Maxian Rusche and S. Noë, acting as Agents)

Interveners in support of the defendant: Land Rheinland-Pfalz (Germany) (represented by: Professor C. Koenig) and Ryanair DAC, formerly Ryanair Ltd (Dublin, Ireland) (represented by: G. Berrisch, lawyer, and B. Byrne, Solicitor)

Objet

Action under Article 263 TFEU for the annulment of Commission Decision (EU) 2016/789 of 1 October 2014 on the State aid SA.21121 (C29/08) (ex NN 54/07) implemented by Germany concerning the financing of Frankfurt Hahn airport and the financial relations between the airport and Ryanair (OJ 2016 L 1 34, p. 46).

Order

- 1) *The action is dismissed as inadmissible.*
- 2) *Deutsche Lufthansa AG is ordered to pay the costs.*

(¹) OJ C 363, 3.11.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 10 April 2019 — Jindal Saw and Jindal Saw Italia v Commission

(Case T-300/16) (¹)

(Subsidies — Imports of tubes and pipes of ductile cast iron originating in India — Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/387 — Imposition of a definitive countervailing duty — Indian scheme establishing an export tax on iron ore and a dual railway freight charge placing the transport of iron ore for export at a disadvantage — Article 3(1)(a)(iv) of Regulation (EC) No 597/2009 (replaced by Regulation (EU) 2016/1037) — Financial contribution — Provision of goods — Action consisting of ‘entrusting’ a private body to carry out a function constituting a financial contribution — Article 4(2)(a) of Regulation No 597/2009 — Specificity of a subsidy — Article 6(d) of Regulation No 597/2009 — Calculation of benefit — Injury to the Union industry — Calculation of price undercutting and the injury margin — Causal link — Access to confidential data of the subsidy investigation — Rights of the defence)

(2019/C 187/64)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicants: Jindal Saw Ltd (New Delhi, India), Jindal Saw Italia SpA (Trieste, Italy) (represented by: R. Antonini and E. Monard, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: J.-F. Brakeland and G. Luengo, Agents)

Intervener in support of the defendant: Saint-Gobain Pam (Pont-à-Mousson, France) (represented by: O. Prost, A. Coelho Dias and C. Bouvarel, lawyers)