Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Mr Edward Łabowicz to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 228, 13.7.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 28 September 2016 — Lacamanda Group v EUIPO — Woolley (HENLEY)

(Case T-362/15) (1)

(EU trade mark — Invalidity proceedings — EU word mark HENLEY — Earlier United Kingdom and EU word marks HENLEYS — Article 8(5) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Taking unfair advantage of the distinctive character or the repute of the earlier mark)

(2016/C 410/19)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: The Lacamanda Group Ltd (Manchester, United Kingdom) (represented by: C. Scott, Barrister)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: H. O'Neill, Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Nigel Woolley (Braceborough, United Kingdom) (represented by: S. Malynicz, Barrister)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 24 April 2015 (Case R 2255/2012-4), relating to invalidity proceedings between The Lacamanda Group and Nigel Woolley.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Annuls the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) of 24 April 2015 (Case R 2255/2012-4);
- 2. Orders EUIPO to bear its own costs and to pay those of The Lacamanda Group Ltd;
- 3. Orders Mr Nigel Woolley to bear his own costs.
- (1) OJ C 294, 7.9.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 28 September 2016 — Pinto Eliseu Baptista Lopes Canhoto v EUIPO — University College London (CITRUS SATURDAY)

(Case T-400/15) (1)

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for EU word mark CITRUS SATURDAY — Earlier national word mark CITRUS — Late submission of documents — Discretion conferred by Article 76(2) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Rule 19 and Rule 20(1) of Regulation (EC) No 2868/95)

(2016/C 410/20)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Ana Isabel Pinto Eliseu Baptista Lopes Canhoto (Algés, Portugal) (represented by: A. Pita Negrão, lawyer)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: H. O'Neill, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO: University College London (London, United Kingdom)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 29 April 2015 (Case R 2109/2014-2), relating to opposition proceedings between Ms Pinto Eliseu Baptista Lopes Canhoto and University College London.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Ana Isabel Pinto Eliseu Baptista Lopes Canhoto to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 320, 28.9.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 27 September 2016 — Satkirit Holdings v EUIPO — Advanced Mailing Solutions (luvo)

(Case T-449/15) (1)

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for the EU word mark luvo — Earlier EU work mark luvo — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Similarity of the goods and services — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2016/C 410/21)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Satkirit Holdings Ltd (Douglas, Isle of Man) (represented by: M. Vanhegan, Barrister)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: E. Sliwinska and J. Crespo Carrillo, acting as Agents)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO: Advanced Mailing Solutions Ltd (East Kilbride, United Kingdom)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Second Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 21 May 2015 (Case R 877/2014-2), relating to opposition proceedings between Advanced Mailing Solutions and Satkirit Holdings

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the action;
- 2. Orders Satkirit Holdings Ltd to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 320, 28.9.2015.