

Judgment of the General Court of 11 May 2017 — Barqawi v Council(Case T-303/15) ⁽¹⁾**(Common Foreign and Security Policy — Restrictive measures adopted against Syria — Freezing of funds — Manifest error of assessment)**

(2017/C 213/35)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* Ahmad Barqawi (Dubai, United Arab Emirates) (represented by: J.-P. Buyle and L. Cloquet, lawyers)*Defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented initially by: G. Étienne and N. Rouam, subsequently by: G. Étienne and S. Kyriakopoulou, and finally by: S. Kyriakopoulou, acting as Agents)**Re:**

Action on the basis of Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of Council Implementing Decision (CFSP) 2015/383 of 6 March 2015 implementing Decision 2013/255/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Syria (OJ 2015 L 64, p. 41) and of Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/375 of 6 March 2015 implementing Regulation (EU) No 36/2012 concerning restrictive measures in view of the situation in Syria (OJ 2015 L 64, p. 10), insofar as the applicant's name has been entered in the list of persons and entities to which the restrictive measures apply.

Operative part of the judgment*The Court:*

1. *Annuls Council Implementing Decision (CFSP) 2015/383 of 6 March 2015 implementing Decision 2013/255/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Syria and Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/375 of 6 March 2015 implementing Regulation (EU) No 36/2012 concerning restrictive measures in view of the situation in Syria inasmuch as they relate to Mr Ahmad Barqawi;*
2. *Orders the Council of the European Union to bear its own costs and to pay the costs incurred by Mr Barqawi.*

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 245, 27.7.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 11 May 2017 — Abdulkarim v Council(Case T-304/15) ⁽¹⁾**(Common Foreign and Security Policy — Restrictive measures adopted against Syria — Freezing of funds — Manifest error of assessment)**

(2017/C 213/36)

*Language of the case: French***Parties***Applicant:* Mouhamad Wael Abdulkarim (Dubai, United Arab Emirates) (represented by: J.-P. Buyle and L. Cloquet, lawyers)*Defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented initially by: G. Étienne and N. Rouam, subsequently by: G. Étienne and S. Kyriakopoulou, and finally by: S. Kyriakopoulou, acting as Agents)**Re:**

Action on the basis of Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of Council Implementing Decision (CFSP) 2015/383 of 6 March 2015 implementing Decision 2013/255/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Syria (OJ 2015 L 64, p. 41) and of Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/375 of 6 March 2015 implementing Regulation (EU) No 36/2012 concerning restrictive measures in view of the situation in Syria (OJ 2015 L 64, p. 10), insofar as the applicant's name has been entered in the list of persons and entities to which the restrictive measures apply.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Annuls Council Implementing Decision (CFSP) 2015/383 of 6 March 2015 implementing Decision 2013/255/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Syria and Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/375 of 6 March 2015 implementing Regulation (EU) No 36/2012 concerning restrictive measures in view of the situation in Syria inasmuch as they relate to Mr Mouhamad Wael Abdulkarim;
2. Orders the Council of the European Union to bear its own costs and to pay the costs incurred by Mr Abdulkarim.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 245, 27.7.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 11 May 2017 — KK v EASME

(Case T-376/15) ⁽¹⁾

(Horizon 2020 — the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation — Call for proposals in respect of the 2014-2015 work programme — Programme to support innovation within SMEs — EASME decision declaring a proposal ineligible — ‘Single submission’ rule — Evaluation review procedure — E-portal for filing proposals temporarily inaccessible — Error of assessment — Infringement of the procedural rules — Non-contractual liability)

(2017/C 213/37)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: KK (represented by: J.-P. Spitzer, lawyer)

Defendant: Executive Agency for Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (EASME) (represented by: A. Pallares Allueva and E. Fierro Sedano, acting as Agents, and A. Duron and D. Waelbroeck, lawyers)

Re:

Action on the basis of Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of the decision of EASME of 15 June 2015 rejecting the proposal submitted by the applicant in response to the Call for proposals and related activities under the 2014-15 work programmes under Horizon 2020 — the Framework Programme for Research and Innovation (2014-20) and under the Research and Training Programme of the European Atomic Energy Community (2014-18) complementing Horizon 2020 (OJ 2013 C 361, p. 9) and, in addition, seeking compensation for the loss which the applicant allegedly suffered as a result of that rejection.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders KK to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 243, 4.7.2016.