

**Judgment of the General Court of 26 October 2016 — Jaber v Council**(Case T-154/15) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Common Foreign and Security Policy — Restrictive measures taken against Syria — Freezing of funds — Annulment of prior measures by a judgment of the General Court — New measures including the applicant's name on the lists — Action for annulment — Article 76(d) of the Rules of Procedure — Content of the application — Admissibility — Obligation to state reasons — Burden of proof — Right to property — Freedom to conduct a business)**

(2016/C 462/21)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Aiman Jaber (Lattakia, Syria) (represented by: A. Boesch, D. Amaudruz and M. Ponsard, lawyers)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented by: B. Driessen and S. Kyriakopoulou, acting as Agents)

**Re:**

Action brought on the basis of Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of Council Implementing Decision 2015/117/CFSP of 26 January 2015 implementing Decision 2013/255/CFSP concerning restrictive measures against Syria (OJ 2015, L 20, p. 85), and of Council Implementing Regulation (EU) 2015/108 of 26 January 2015 implementing Regulation (EU) No 36/2012 concerning restrictive measures in view of the situation in Syria (OJ 2015, L 20, p. 2), in so far as the name of the applicant is included in the list of persons and entities subject to the restrictive measures.

**Operative part of the judgment**

*The Court:*

- 1) Dismisses the action;
- 2) Orders Mr Aiman Jaber to bear his own costs and to pay those incurred by the Council of the European Union in the context of the present proceedings and during the proceedings for an interim order.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 190, 8.6.2015.

**Judgment of the General Court of 26 October 2016 — Kaddour v Council**(Case T-155/15) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Common Foreign and Security Policy — Restrictive measures taken against Syria — Freezing of funds — Annulment of prior measures by a judgment of the General Court — New measures including the applicant's name on the lists — Action for annulment — Article 76(d) of the Rules of Procedure — Content of the application — Admissibility — Obligation to state reasons — Burden of proof — Right to property — Freedom to conduct a business)**

(2016/C 462/22)

Language of the case: French

**Parties**

*Applicant:* Khaled Kaddour (Damascus, Syria) (represented: initially by A. Boesch, D. Amaudruz, M. Ponsard, lawyers, V. Davies, Solicitor, and T. Eicke, QC, then by V. Davies, T. Eicke and V. Wilkinson, Solicitor)

*Defendant:* Council of the European Union (represented by: G. Étienne and S. Kyriakopoulou, acting as Agents)