

GENERAL COURT

Judgment of the General Court of 24 March 2017 — Estonia v Commission

(Case T-117/15) ⁽¹⁾

(Actions for annulment — Agriculture — Common organisation of the markets — Measures to be adopted in consequence of the accession of new Member States — Amounts to be charged for quantities of surplus sugar not eliminated — Request for modification of a final decision of the Commission — Refusal of the request — Act not open to challenge — Confirmatory measure — No new substantive particulars — Inadmissibility)

(2017/C 144/44)

Language of the case: Estonian

Parties

Applicant: Republic of Estonia (represented by: K. Kraavi-Käerdi, acting as Agent)

Defendant: European Commission (represented initially by L. Naaber-Kivisoo and M.P. Ondrůšek, acting as Agents, then by P. Ondrůšek, assisted by M. Kärson, lawyer)

Intervener in support of the applicant: Republic of Latvia (represented by I. Kalniņš and D. Peļše, acting as Agents)

Re:

Action under Article 263 TFEU for annulment of the decision allegedly contained in the European Commission's letter of 22 December 2014 declining to amend European Commission Decision 2006/776/EC of 13 November 2006 on the amounts to be charged for the quantities of surplus sugar not eliminated (OJ 2006 L 314, p. 35).

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action as inadmissible.
2. Orders the Republic of Estonia to bear its own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Commission.
3. Orders the Republic of Latvia to bear its own costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 171, 26.5.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 14 March 2017 — IR v EUIPO — Pirelli Tyre (popchro)no

(Case T-132/15) ⁽¹⁾

(EU trade mark — Revocation proceedings — EU word mark popchro — Absence of genuine use of a trade mark — Article 51(1)(a) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009)

(2017/C 144/45)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: IR (represented by: C. de Marguerye, lawyer)