

Judgment of the General Court of 15 September 2016 — AEDEC v Commission(Case T-91/15) ⁽¹⁾

(Technological research and development — ‘Horizon 2020’ framework programme for research and innovation — Calls for proposals in respect of work programmes 2014-2015 — Commission decision declaring the proposal submitted by the applicant ineligible — Obligation to state reasons — Rights of the defence — Proportionality — Openness — Manifest error of assessment)

(2016/C 402/46)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Asociación Española para el Desarrollo de la Epidemiología Clínica (AEDEC) (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: R. López López, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: N. Ruiz García and M. Siekierzyńska, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application on the basis of Article 263 TFEU seeking the annulment of the decision of 4 September 2014 in which the Commission rejected the request for financing submitted by the applicant on behalf of the consortium Latin Plan.

Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

1. Dismisses the action;
2. Orders the Asociación Española para el Desarrollo de la Epidemiología Clínica (AEDEC) to pay the costs.

⁽¹⁾ OJ C 127, 20.4.2015.

Judgment of the General Court of 15 September 2016 — Arrom Conseil v EUIPO — Nina Ricci (Roméo has a Gun by Romano Ricci)(Case T-359/15) ⁽¹⁾

(EU trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for registration of the EU figurative mark Roméo has a Gun by Romano Ricci — Earlier EU word marks NINA RICCI and RICCI — Likelihood of confusion — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Unfair advantage taken of the distinctive character or reputation of the earlier marks — Damage to reputation — Article 8(5) of Regulation No 207/2009)

(2016/C 402/47)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Arrom Conseil (Paris, France) (represented by: C. Herissay Ducamp and J. Blanchard, lawyers)

Defendant: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: H. Kunz, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of EUIPO, intervener before the General Court: Nina Ricci SARL (Paris, France) (represented by: E. Armijo Chávarri, lawyer)

Re:

Action brought against the decision of the First Board of Appeal of EUIPO of 13 April 2015 (Case R 1021/2014-1) relating to opposition proceedings between Nina Ricci SARL and Arrom Conseil.