Form of order sought

- Annul Europol's decision of 29 July 2014 in so far as that decision does not grant the applicant a contract of indefinite duration and in so far as it offers him an amount in compensation of EUR 10 000, and the decision of 22 May 2015 in so far as it rejects the claim brought by the applicant against the decision of 29 July 2014;
- Order Europol to pay the costs, including the legal costs.

Action brought on 21 August 2015 — ZZ v Commission (Case F-121/15) (2015/C 354/69)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: ZZ (represented by: M. Casado García-Hirschfeld, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission

Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

Application for annulment of the decision rejecting the applicant's candidature for the post advertised in the vacancy notice COM/2014/2036, on the basis of the failure to comply with the condition requiring a six-month break between contracts prior to the recruitment of a member of staff who has previously been employed under a contract at grade AT2c, as provided for in note D(2005)18064 of 28 July 2005 of DG HR, and for compensation in respect of the material and non-material harm allegedly suffered.

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Tribunal should:

- annul the decision of 22 May 2014 in which DG HR prevented the recruitment of the applicant;
- annul, so far as necessary, the decision of 14 November 2014 rejecting the complaint;
- order compensation in respect of the pecuniary and non-pecuniary harm incurred by the applicant as a result of those decisions, estimated, subject to being re-evaluated in the future, at EUR 326 275, that compensation to be paid together with indexed default interest;
- order the Commission to pay the costs.

Action brought on 22 August 2015 — ZZ v Commission (Case F-122/15)

(2015/C 354/70)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: ZZ (represented by: S. Orlandi and T. Martin, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Subject-matter and description of the proceedings

The annulment of the decision transferring the applicant's pension rights to the European Union pension scheme, which applies the new general implementing provisions (GIP) for Article 11(2) of Annex VIII to the Staff Regulations.

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Tribunal should:

- Declare illegal Article 9 of the general implementing provisions for Article 11(2) of Annex VIII to the Staff Regulations;
- Annul the decision of 6 January 2015 confirming the transfer of the pension rights acquired prior to his entry to the service pursuant to the general implementing provisions adopted on 3 March 2011 for Article 11(2) of Annex VIII to the Staff Regulations;
- Order the Commission to pay the costs.