EUROPEAN UNION CIVIL SERVICE TRIBUNAL

Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 17 March 2016 — Pasqualetti v Commission

(Case F-2/15) $(^{1})$

(Civil service — Member of the temporary staff recruited by the EEAS — Installation allowance — Daily subsistence allowance — Place of origin — Place of recruitment — Change in the place of residence — Action for annulment — Action for damages — Unlimited jurisdiction)

(2016/C 156/76)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: Gergő Pasqualetti (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: A. Véghely, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented initially by J. Currall and T. S. Bohr, acting as Agents, and subsequently by T. S. Bohr, acting as Agent)

Re:

Application for annulment of the decision refusing to grant the applicant the installation allowance and the daily subsistence allowance and application seeking an order that the Commission pay him those allowances together with interest.

Operative part of the judgment

The Tribunal:

- 1. Annuls the Commission's decision of 4 March 2014, by which it refused to grant Mr Pasqualetti entitlement to the installation allowance and the daily subsistence allowance, provided for in Article 5(1) and Article 10(1) of Annex VII to the Staff Regulations;
- 2. Orders the European Commission to pay Mr Pasqualetti, in accordance with the rules in the Staff Regulations as currently in force, the allowances referred to in paragraph 1 of the operative part, together with default interest, from the dates on which they became payable respectively until the date of actual payment, at the rate fixed by the European Central Bank for its main refinancing operations and applicable during the period concerned, increased by two points;
- 3. Declares that the European Commission shall bear its own costs and orders it to pay those incurred by Mr Pasqualetti.

(¹) OJ C 96, 23/3/2015, p. 25.

Judgment of the Civil Service Tribunal (Second Chamber) of 18 March 2016 — Kerstens v Commission

(Case F-23/15) $(^1)$

(Civil Service — Officials — Obligations — Acts contrary to the dignity of the civil service — Dissemination of insulting remarks with regard to another official — Article 12 of the Staff Regulations — Disciplinary procedure — Enquiry in the form of an examination of the facts — Reprimand — Article 9(1)(b) of Annex IX to the Staff Regulations — Consequences of the irregularity)

(2016/C 156/77)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Applicant: Petrus Kerstens (Overijse, Belgium) (represented by: C. Mourato, lawyer)