

Appeal brought on 23 July 2015 by Harper Hygienics S.A. against the judgment delivered by the General Court on 13 May 2015 in Case T-363/13, Harper Hygienics v European Union Intellectual Property Office — Clinique Laboratories (CLEANIC natural beauty)

(Case C-474/15 P)

(2016/C 326/08)

Language of the case: Polish

Parties

Appellant: Harper Hygienics S.A. (represented by: D. Rzążewska, radca prawny)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office and Clinique Laboratories, LLC

By order of the Court of Justice (Tenth Chamber) of 7 April 2016, the appeal was declared inadmissible in part and was dismissed as to the remainder.

Appeal brought on 23 July 2015 by Harper Hygienics S.A. against the judgment delivered by the General Court on 13 May 2015 in Case T-364/12, Harper Hygienics v European Union Intellectual Property Office — Clinique Laboratories (CLEANIC Kindii)

(Case C-475/15 P)

(2016/C 326/09)

Language of the case: Polish

Parties

Appellant: Harper Hygienics S.A. (represented by: D. Rzążewska, radca prawny)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office and Clinique Laboratories, LLC

By order of the Court of Justice (Tenth Chamber) of 7 April 2016, the appeal was declared inadmissible in part and was dismissed as to the remainder.

Appeal brought on 25 September 2015 by Roland SE against the judgment of the General Court (Ninth Chamber) delivered on 16 July 2015 in Case T-631/14 Roland SE v European Union Intellectual Property Office

(Case C-515/15 P)

(2016/C 326/10)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Appellant: Roland SE (represented by: C. Onken, Rechtsanwältin)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office

Christian Louboutin

By order of 14 April 2016 the Court (Ninth Chamber) dismissed the appeal.
