Appeal brought on 30 July 2015 by Fernando Brás Messias against the order of the General Court (Ninth Chamber) delivered on 4 June 2015 in Case T-192/15 Fernando Brás Messias v Portuguese Republic

(Case C-422/15 P)

(2016/C 048/12)

Language of the case: Portuguese

Parties

Appellant: Fernando Brás Messias (represented by: F. Brás Messias, advogado)

Other party to the proceedings: Portuguese Republic

By order of 15 December 2015, the Court of Justice (Eighth Chamber) dismissed the action as inadmissible.

Action brought on 31 July 2015 — Udo Voigt v President of the European Parliament, European Parliament

(Case C-425/15)

(2016/C 048/13)

Language of the case: German

Parties

Applicant: Udo Voigt (represented by: P. R. Richter, Rechtsanwalt)

Defendants: President of the European Parliament, European Parliament

By Order of 29 October 2015, the Court of Justice of the European Union (First Chamber) held that it clearly does not have jurisdiction to decide on the action for annulment brought by Mr Udo Voigt on 31 July 2015 and referred the case to the General Court of the European Union. It reserved the decision on costs.

Request for a preliminary ruling from the Bundesgerichtshof (Germany) lodged on 13 October 2015 — Feliks Frisman v Finnair Oyj

(Case C-533/15)

(2016/C 048/14)

Language of the case: German

Referring court

Bundesgerichtshof

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Feliks Frisman

Defendant: Finnair Oyj

Questions referred

1. Is Article 5(1)(a) of Council Regulation (EC) No 44/2001 of 22 December 2000 on jurisdiction and the recognition and enforcement of judgments in civil and commercial matters (¹) to be interpreted as meaning that the concept of 'matters relating to a contract' also covers a claim for compensation made under Article 7 of Regulation (EC) No 261/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 February 2004 establishing common rules on compensation and assistance to passengers in the event of denied boarding and of cancellation or long delay of flights, and repealing Regulation (EEC) No [295/91] and brought against an operating air carrier which is not a party to the contract with the passenger concerned?