

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Korkein oikeus (Finland) lodged on 25 June 2015 —  
TrustBuddy AB v Lauri Pihjalaniemi**

**(Case C-311/15)**

(2015/C 294/48)

*Language of the case: Finnish*

**Referring court**

Korkein oikeus

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicant:* TrustBuddy AB

*Defendant:* Lauri Pihjalaniemi

**Question referred**

Is Article 3(b) of Directive 2008/48/EC<sup>(1)</sup> of the European Parliament and of the Council on credit agreements for consumers and repealing Council Directive 87/102/EEC to be interpreted as meaning that that a trader is to be regarded as a creditor if it markets credit to consumers via the internet in the form of so-called peer-to-peer lending and exercises, as regards the consumers, the decision-making power generally appertaining to creditors with respect to the terms and conditions, the granting of credit and debt recovery, even though the funds for credits come from anonymous private individuals and are kept separate from the trader's own funds?

<sup>(1)</sup> Directive 2008/48/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2008 on credit agreements for consumers and repealing Council Directive 87/102/EEC (OJ 2008 L 133, p. 66).

---

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunal de commerce de Paris (France) lodged on 25 June  
2015 — Eco-Emballages SA v Sphère France SAS, Schweitzer SAS, Carrefour Import SAS, Tissue  
France SCA, SCA Hygiène Products SAS, WEPA Troyes SAS, Industrie Cartarie Tronchetti SpA,  
Industrie Cartarie Tronchetti Ibérica, SL, Kimberly-Clark SAS, Gopack SAS, Delipapier, CMC France  
SARL, Paul Hartmann SA, Wepa Lille SAS, Industrie Cartarie Tronchetti France SAS, Melitta France  
SAS, Cofresco Frischhalteprodukte GmbH & Co. KG, Scamark SAS, Système U Centrale Nationale  
SAS**

**(Case C-313/15)**

(2015/C 294/49)

*Language of the case: French*

**Referring court**

Tribunal de commerce de Paris

**Parties to the main proceedings**

*Applicant:* Eco-Emballages SA

*Defendants:* Sphère France SAS, Schweitzer SAS, Carrefour Import SAS, Tissue France SCA, SCA Hygiène Products SAS, WEPA Troyes SAS, Industrie Cartarie Tronchetti SpA, Industrie Cartarie Tronchetti Ibérica, SL, Kimberly-Clark SAS, Gopack SAS, Delipapier, CMC France SARL, Paul Hartmann SA, Wepa Lille SAS, Industrie Cartarie Tronchetti France SAS, Melitta France SAS, Cofresco Frischhalteprodukte GmbH & Co. KG, Scamark SAS, Système U Centrale Nationale SAS

**Question referred**

Does the concept of packaging, as defined in Article 3 of Directive 94/62/EC, amended by Directive 2004/12/EC <sup>(1)</sup>, include 'roll cores' (rolls, tubes, cylinders) around which flexible material, such as paper or plastic film, is wound and sold to consumers?

<sup>(1)</sup> Directive 2004/12/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 February 2004 amending Directive 94/62/EC on packaging and packaging waste (OJ 2004 L 47, p. 26).

---

**Action brought on 26 June 2015 — European Commission v French Republic****(Case C-314/15)**

(2015/C 294/50)

*Language of the case: French***Parties**

*Applicant:* European Commission (represented by: O. Beynet and E. Manhaeve, acting as Agents)

*Defendant:* French Republic

**Form of order sought**

— a declaration that in not having assured the secondary treatment or equivalent of urban waste water from 15 agglomerations having a population equivalent between 10 000 and 15 000 for all discharges outside sensitive areas, being a population equivalent of between 2 000 and 10 000 for all discharges in fresh water and estuaries, the French Republic has failed to fulfil its obligations under Article 4(1) and (3) of Council Directive 91/271/EEC of 21 May 1991 concerning urban waste-water treatment <sup>(1)</sup>.

— that the French Republic should pay the costs.

**Pleas in law and main arguments**

By its action the Commission complains that France has failed to implement correctly, in 15 agglomerations, Council Directive 91/271/EEC of 21 May 1991 concerning urban waste-water treatment.

Pursuant to Article 4(1) and (3) of Directive 91/271/EEC, agglomerations where the population equivalent (p.e.) is between 10 000 and 15 000 for all discharges outside sensitive areas, being a population equivalent of between 2 000 and 10 000 for all discharges in fresh water and estuaries, must be equipped with collecting systems and waste water undergo a secondary treatment or the equivalent by 31 December 2005 at the latest.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ 1991 L 135, p. 40.

---

**Request for a preliminary ruling from the Cour constitutionnelle (Luxembourg) lodged on 29 June 2015 — ArcelorMittal Rodange et Schifflange SA v State of the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg****(Case C-321/15)**

(2015/C 294/51)

*Language of the case: French***Referring court**

Cour constitutionnelle