Operative part of the order

- 1. The appeal is dismissed.
- 2. Real Express SRL shall bear its own costs and pay the costs of the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) and of MIP Metro Group Intellectual Property GmbH & Co. KG.
- (1) OJ C 398, 30.11.2015.

Order of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 8 September 2016 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale amministrativo regionale per il Lazio — Italy) — Google Ireland Limited, Google Italy Srl v Autorità per le Garanzie nelle Comunicazioni

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Article 53(2) and Article 94 of the Rules of Procedure of the Court of Justice — Lack of sufficient information concerning the factual and legal context of the dispute in the main proceedings and the reasons justifying the need for a reply to the question referred — Manifest inadmissibility)

(2016/C 454/18)

Language of the case: Italian

Referring court

Tribunale amministrativo regionale per il Lazio

Parties to the main proceedings

Applicants: Google Ireland Limited, Google Italy Srl

Defendant: Autorità per le Garanzie nelle Comunicazioni

Intervening parties: Filandolarete Srl, Associazione Confindustria Radio Televisioni, Federazione Italiana Editori Giornali (FIEG)

Operative part of the order

The request for a preliminary ruling brought by the Tribunale amministrativo regionale per il Lazio (Regional Administrative Court for Lazio, Italy), by decision of 22 April 2015, is manifestly inadmissible.

(1) OJ C 320, 28.9.2015.

Order of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 20 July 2016 — Claire Staelen v European Ombudsman

(Case C-338/15 P) (1)

(Appeal — Non-contractual liability — Handling by the European Ombudsman of a complaint concerning the management of a list of suitable candidates following an open competition — Rules of Procedure of the Court — Article 181)

(2016/C 454/19)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Appellant: Claire Staelen (represented by: V. Olona, avocate)

Other party to the proceedings: European Ombudsman (represented: initially by G. Grill, and subsequently by L. Papadias, acting as Agents)

Operative part of the judgment

- 1. The appeal is rejected.
- 2. Claire Staelen is ordered to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 294, 7.9.2015.

Order of the Court (First Chamber) of 21 July 2016 — Louis Vuitton Malletier SA v European Union Intellectual Property Office, Nanu-Nana Handelsgesellschaft mbH für Geschenkartikel & Co. KG

(Joined Cases C-363/15 P and C-364/15 P) (1)

(Appeal — European Union trade mark — No need to adjudicate)

(2016/C 454/20)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Appellant: Louis Vuitton Malletier SA (represented by: P. Roncaglia, G. Lazzeretti, F. Rossi and N. Parrotta, avvocati)

Other parties to the proceedings: European Union Intellectual Property Office (represented by: P. Bullock and D. Hanf, acting as Agents), Nanu-Nana Handelsgesellschaft mbH für Geschenkartikel & Co. KG (represented by: T. Boddien and A. Nordemann, Rechtsanwälte)

Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no need to adjudicate on the appeals.
- 2. Nanu-Nana Handelsgesellschaft mbH für Geschenkartikel & Co. KG shall pay its own costs in Case C-363/15 P and Case C-364/15 P.
- 3. Louis Vuitton Malletier SA shall bear its own costs and pay the costs incurred by the European Union Intellectual Property Office (EUIPO) in Case C-363/15 P and Case C-364/15 P.
- (1) OJ C 414, 14.12.2015.

Order of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 28 September 2016 — (request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunale di Taranto — Italy) — Criminal proceedings against Davide Durante

(Case C-438/15) (1)

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Article 99 of the Rules of Procedure of the Cour of Justice — Identical questions referred — Articles 49 and 56 TFEU — Freedom of establishment — Freedom to provide services — Gambling — Restrictions — Overriding reasons relating to the public interest — Proportionality — Conditions taking part in a tendering procedure and evaluation of economic and financial capacity — Tenderer excluded for failure to produce testimonials of his economic and financial capacity given by two separate banking institutions)

(2016/C 454/21)

Language of the case: Italian

Referring court