## Operative part of the judgment

Articles 3, 8 and 12 of Council Directive 2003/8/EC of 27 January 2003 to improve access to justice in cross-border disputes by establishing minimum common rules relating to legal aid for such disputes, read together, must be interpreted as meaning that legal aid granted by the Member State of the court hearing the particular case, in which a natural person domiciled or resident in another Member State has submitted a legal aid application in the context of a cross-border dispute, also covers the costs paid by that person for the translation of the supporting documents necessary for the processing of that application.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 90, 7.3.2016.

Judgment of the Court (Fourth Chamber) of 26 July 2017 — Czech Republic v European Commission

(Case C-696/15 P) (<sup>1</sup>)

(Appeal — Transport — Directive 2010/40/EU — Deployment of Intelligent Transport Systems in the field of road transport — Article 7 — Delegation of power to the European Commission — Limits — Delegated Regulation (EU) No 885/2013 — Provision of information services for safe and secure parking places for trucks and commercial vehicles — Delegated Regulation (EU) No 886/2013 — Data and procedures for the provision of road safety-related minimum universal traffic information free of charge to users — Article 290 TFEU — Explicit definition of the objectives, content, scope and duration of the delegation of power — Essential element of the matter in question — Establishment of a supervisory body)

(2017/C 309/08)

Language of the case: Czech

## Parties

Appellant: Czech Republic (represented by: M. Smolek, J. Vláčil, T. Müller and J. Pavliš, Agents)

Other party to the proceedings: European Commission (represented by: A. Buchet, P.J.O. Van Nuffel, J. Hottiaux and Z. Malůšková, Agents)

## Operative part of the judgment

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the appeal;
- 2. Orders the Czech Republic to pay the costs.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 78, 29.2.2016.

Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 26 July 2017 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Tribunal administratif de Montreuil — France) — ArcelorMittal Atlantique et Lorraine v Ministre de l'Écologie, du Développement durable et de l'Énergie

(Case C-80/16) (<sup>1</sup>)

(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Environment — Directive 2003/87/EC — Article 10a(1) — EU greenhouse gas emission allowance trading scheme — Free allocation of allowances — Decision 2011/278/EU — Validity — Principle of sound administration — Determination of the product benchmark for hot metal — Use of data in the 'BREF' for iron and steel production and guidelines for establishing benchmarks for hot metal — Meaning of 'imilar products' — Reference installations — Obligation to

benchmarks for hot metal — Meaning of 'similar products' — Reference installations — Obligation to state reasons)

(2017/C 309/09)

Language of the case: French

**Referring court**