

**Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 15 March 2017 — Hitachi Chemical Europe GmbH, Polynt SpA v New Japan Chemical, REACH ChemAdvice GmbH, Sitre Srl, European Chemicals Agency, Kingdom of the Netherlands, European Commission**

(Case C-324/15 P) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Appeal — Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 (REACH Regulation) — Article 57(f) — Authorisation — Substances of very high concern — Identification — Equivalent level of concern — Hexahydromethylphthalic anhydride, hexahydro-4-methylphthalic anhydride, hexahydro-1-methylphthalic anhydride and hexahydro-3-methylphthalic anhydride)**

(2017/C 151/07)

Language of the case: English

### Parties

*Appellants:* Hitachi Chemical Europe GmbH, Polynt SpA (represented by: C. Mereu and M. Grunchar, avocats)

*Other parties to the proceedings:* New Japan Chemical (represented by: C. Mereu and M. Grunchar, avocats), REACH ChemAdvice GmbH (represented by: C. Mereu and M. Grunchar, avocats), Sitre Srl (represented by: C. Mereu and M. Grunchar, avocats), European Chemicals Agency (ECHA) (represented by: M. Heikkilä, C. Buchanan, W. Broere and T. Zbihlej, acting as Agents, and J. Stuyck, advocaat), Kingdom of the Netherlands (represented by: C. Schillemans and M. Bulterman, acting as Agents), European Commission (represented by: D. Kukovec and K. Mifsud-Bonnici, acting as Agents)

### Operative part of the judgment

*The Court:*

1. Dismisses the appeal;
2. Orders Hitachi Chemical Europe GmbH and Polynt SpA to bear their own costs and to pay those incurred by the European Chemicals Agency (ECHA);
3. Orders the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the European Commission to bear their own costs;
4. Orders New Japan Chemical and REACH ChemAdvice GmbH to bear their own costs.

---

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 311, 21.9.2015.

---

**Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 15 March 2017 — Stichting Woonlinie, Woningstichting Volksbelang, Stichting Woonstede v European Commission, Kingdom of Belgium, Vereniging van Institutionele Beleggers in Vastgoed, Nederland (IVBN)**

(Case C-414/15 P) <sup>(1)</sup>

**(Appeal — State aid — Existing aid — Article 108(1) TFEU — Aid schemes in favour of social housing corporations — Regulation (EC) No 659/1999 — Articles 17, 18 and 19 — Assessment by the Commission of the compatibility with the internal market of an existing aid scheme — Proposal of appropriate measures — Commitments given by the national authorities in order to comply with EU law — Compatibility decision — Scope of judicial review — Legal effects)**

(2017/C 151/08)

Language of the case: Dutch

### Parties

*Appellants:* Stichting Woonlinie, Woningstichting Volksbelang, Stichting Woonstede (represented by: L. Hancher, E. Besselink and P. Glazener, advocaten)

*Other parties to the proceedings:* European Commission (represented by: S. Noë and P.J. Loewenthal, acting as Agents), Kingdom of Belgium, Vereniging van Institutionele Beleggers in Vastgoed, Nederland (IVBN) (represented by: M. Meulenbelt, advocaat)

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Sets aside the order of the General Court of the European Union of 12 May 2015, *Stichting Woonlinie and Others v Commission* (T-202/10 RENV, not published, EU:T:2015:287);
2. Refers the case back to the General Court of the European Union;
3. Reserves the costs.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 337, 12.10.2015.

---

**Judgment of the Court (First Chamber) of 15 March 2017 — *Stichting Woonpunt, Woningstichting Haag Wonen, Stichting Woonbedrijf SWS.Hhvl v European Commission, Kingdom of Belgium, Vereniging van Institutionele Beleggers in Vastgoed, Nederland (IVBN)***

(Case C-415/15 P) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Appeal — State aid — Existing aid — Article 108(1) TFEU — Aid schemes in favour of social housing corporations — Regulation (EC) No 659/1999 — Articles 17, 18 and 19 — Assessment by the Commission of the compatibility with the internal market of an existing aid scheme — Proposal of appropriate measures — Commitments given by the national authorities in order to comply with EU law — Compatibility decision — Scope of judicial review — Legal effects)*

(2017/C 151/09)

Language of the case: Dutch

**Parties**

*Appellants:* Stichting Woonpunt, Woningstichting Haag Wonen, Stichting Woonbedrijf SWS.Hhvl (represented by: L. Hancher, E. Besselink and P. Glazener, advocaten)

*Other parties to the proceedings:* European Commission (represented by: S. Noë and P.J. Loewenthal, acting as Agents), Kingdom of Belgium, Vereniging van Institutionele Beleggers in Vastgoed, Nederland (IVBN) (represented by: M. Meulenbelt, advocaat)

**Operative part of the judgment**

The Court:

1. Sets aside the order of the General Court of the European Union of 12 May 2015, *Stichting Woonpunt and Others v Commission* (T-203/10 RENV, not published, EU:T:2015:286);
2. Refers the case back to the General Court of the European Union;
3. Reserves the costs.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 337, 12.10.2015.

---

**Judgment of the Court (Seventh Chamber) of 16 March 2017 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Corte suprema di cassazione — Italy) — *Agenzia delle Entrate v Marci Identi***

(Case C-493/15) <sup>(1)</sup>

*(Reference for a preliminary ruling — Taxation — Value added tax — Article 4(3) TEU — Sixth Directive — State aid — Procedure discharging bankrupt natural persons from debts (esdebitazione) — Ineligibility of VAT debts)*

(2017/C 151/10)

Language of the case: Italian

**Referring court**

Corte suprema di cassazione