# Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: combit Software GmbH

Defendant: Commit Business Solutions Ltd

## Operative part of the judgment

Article 1(2), Article 9(1)(b) and Article 102(1) of Council Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 of 26 February 2009 on the European Union trade mark must be interpreted as meaning that, where an EU trade mark court finds that the use of a sign creates a likelihood of confusion with an EU trade mark in one part of the European Union whilst not creating such a likelihood in another part thereof, that court must conclude that there is an infringement of the exclusive right conferred by that trade mark and issue an order prohibiting the use in question for the entire area of the European Union with the exception of the part in respect of which there has been found to be no likelihood of confusion.

(1) OJ C 294, 7.9.2015.

Judgment of the Court (Tenth Chamber) of 21 September 2016 (request for a preliminary ruling from the Vredegerecht te Ieper — Belgium) — Nationale Maatschappij der Belgische Spoorwegen NV v Gregory Demey

(Case C-261/15) (1)

(Rail transport — Regulation (EC) No 1371/2007 — Passengers' rights and obligations — Absence of a ticket — Failure to regularise within the prescribed period — Criminal offence)

(2016/C 419/25)

Language of the case: Dutch

#### Referring court

Vredegerecht te Ieper

### Parties to the main proceedings

Applicant: Nationale Maatschappij der Belgische Spoorwegen NV

Defendant: Gregory Demey

## Operative part of the judgment

The final sentence of Article 6(2) of Appendix A to the Convention Concerning International Carriage by Rail (COTIF) of 9 May 1980, as modified by the Protocol for the modification of the Convention Concerning International Carriage by Rail of 3 June 1999, in Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1371/2007 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2007 on rail passengers' rights and obligations, must be interpreted as not precluding national provisions which lay down that a person making a train journey while not in possession of a ticket for that purpose, who fails to regularise his situation within the periods laid down in those provisions, does not have a contractual relationship with the railway undertaking.

<sup>(1)</sup> OJ C 270, 17.8.2015.