

Action brought on 20 June 2014 — Arocasa v Commission**(Case T-461/14)**

(2014/C 253/85)

*Language of the case: Spanish***Parties**

Applicant: Arocasa, SA (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: J. García Muñoz, J. Jiménez-Blanco Carrillo de Albornoz and J. Corral García, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision; and
- order the Commission to pay all the costs incurred in the proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are those raised in Case T-392/14 *Gutser v Commission*.

Action brought on 24 June 2014 — Vego Supermercados v Commission**(Case T-465/14)**

(2014/C 253/86)

*Language of the case: Spanish***Parties**

Applicant: Vego Supermercados, SA (La Coruña, Spain) (represented by: J.L. Buendía Sierra, E. Abad Valdenebro, R. Calvo Salinero and A. Lamadrid de Pablo, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the General Court should:

- annul the contested decision in so far as it categorises the measures which, according to that decision, together constitute the ‘Spanish Tax Lease System’ as new State aid that is incompatible with the internal market;
- in the alternative, annul Articles 1 and 4 of the contested decision, which identify the investors in the Economic Interest Groupings (EIGs) as the beneficiaries of the alleged aid and as the sole addressees of the order for recovery;
- in the alternative, annul Article 4 of the contested decision, in so far as it orders recovery of the alleged aid;
- annul Article 4 of the contested decision, in so far as it makes a determination as to the lawfulness of private contracts between the investors and other entities; and
- order the Commission to pay the costs of these proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are those raised in Case T-700/13 *Bankia v Commission*.
