

- in the alternative, annul Article 4 of the contested decision, in so far as it orders recovery of the alleged aid in breach of general principles of EU law;
- in the alternative, annul Article 4 of the contested decision, in so far as it makes a determination as to the lawfulness of private contracts between the investors and other entities, in full or in such a way as to limit the bar on passing on the burden of recovery to the profitability of the operations; and
- order the Commission to pay the costs of these proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are those raised in Case T-401/14 Duro Felguera SA v Commission.

Action brought on 12 June 2014 — Distribuidores y Transportistas de Productos Petrolíferos v Commission

(Case T-426/14)

(2014/C 253/74)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Distribuidores y Transportistas de Productos Petrolíferos, SA (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: J. García Muñoz, J. Jiménez-Blanco Carrillo de Albornoz and J. Corral García, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision; and
- order the Commission to pay all the costs incurred in the proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are those raised in Case T-392/14 Gutser v Commission.

Action brought on 12 June 2014 — Almoauto v Commission

(Case T-427/14)

(2014/C 253/75)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Almoauto, SA (Alcorcón, Madrid, Spain) (represented by: J. García Muñoz, J. Jiménez-Blanco Carrillo de Albornoz and J. Corral García, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision; and
- order the Commission to pay all the costs incurred in the proceedings.