EN

Action brought on 6 June 2014 — Sociedad Española Inmuebles y Locales v Commission

(Case T-397/14)

(2014/C 245/41)

Language of the case: Spanish

Parties

Applicant: Sociedad Española Inmuebles y Locales, SL (Madrid, Spain) (represented by: J.C. García Muñoz, J.I. Jiménez-Blanco Carrillo de Albornoz and J. Corral García, lawyers)

Defendant: European Commission

Form of order sought

The applicant claims that the Court should:

- annul the contested decision;

- order the Commission to pay all the costs incurred in the proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The pleas in law and main arguments are those put forward in Case T-392/14.

Action brought on 2 June 2014 — Marcuccio v Court of Justice

(Case T-409/14)

(2014/C 245/42)

Language of the case: Italian

Parties

Applicant: Luigi Marcuccio (Tricase, Italy) (represented by: G. Cipressa, lawyer)

Defendant: Court of Justice of the European Union

Form of order sought

- Annul the decision, whatever the form in which it was adopted, by which the defendant rejected the request of 22 January 2014 submitted by the applicant to Court of Justice.
- Order the defendant to pay the applicant the sum of EUR 25 000, or such other greater or lesser sum as the General Court may deem to be fair and equitable, by way of compensation for the harm suffered by the applicant as a result of the unreasonable duration of the legal proceedings brought by the applicant, or by way of an indemnity on account of the unreasonable duration of the proceedings in question.
- Order the defendant to pay all the costs, fees and other expenses incurred in these proceedings.

Pleas in law and main arguments

The subject-matter of the present action is the harm which the applicant claims that he suffered as a result of what he claims to be the excessive duration of the proceedings in Case T-236/02 Marcuccio v Commission.

In support of the action, the applicant relies on 2 pleas in law.

- 1. First plea in law, alleging absolute failure to state reasons, including on the ground of absolute failure to carry out a preliminary investigation and breach of the duty of sound administration.
- 2. Second plea in law, alleging breach of law and manifest error of assessment.