## Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed as inadmissible.
- 2. Elliniki Metalleftiki kai Metallourgiki Larymnis Larko AE shall bear its own costs and pay those incurred by the European Commission.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 395, 10.11.2014.

Order of the General Court of 15 March 2016 — Larymnis Larko v Commission

(Case T-576/14) (<sup>1</sup>)

(Action for annulment — State aid — Privatisation — Support measures for a debtor of the applicant — Decision declaring the aid to be incompatible with the internal market — No interest in bringing proceedings — Inadmissibility)

(2016/C 156/57)

Language of the case: Greek

### Parties

Applicant: Elliniki Metalleftiki kai Metallourgiki Larymnis Larko AE (Kallithea, Greece) (represented by: V. Koulouris, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: A. Bouchagiar, acting as Agent, and by V. Chatzopoulos, lawyer)

## Re:

Application for annulment of Commission Decision C (2014) 1805 of 27 March 2014 declaring that the sale of some of the assets exploited by Larko General Mining & Metallurgical Company SA did not constitute State aid [SA. 37954 (2013/ N)].

### Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed as inadmissible.
- 2. Elliniki Metalleftiki kai Metallourgiki Larymnis Larko AE shall bear its own costs and pay those incurred by the European Commission.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 395, 10.11.2014.

Order of the General Court of 11 March 2016 — International Gaming Projects v OHIM — Sky (Sky BONUS)

(Case T-840/14) (<sup>1</sup>)

(Community trade mark — Opposition proceedings — Application for a Community figurative mark Sky BONUS — Earlier national word mark SKY — Relative ground for refusal — Likelihood of confusion — Restriction of the goods designated in the trade mark application — Article 8(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 207/2009 — Inadmissibility)

(2016/C 156/58)

Language of the case: English

## Parties

Applicant: International Gaming Projects Ltd (Valletta, Malta) (represented by: M. Garayalde Niño, lawyer)

EN

*Defendant:* Office for Harmonisation in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) (represented by: D. Walicka, acting as Agent)

Other party to the proceedings before the Board of Appeal of OHIM, intervener before the General Court: Sky plc, formerly British Sky Broadcasting Group plc (Isleworth, United Kingdom) (represented by: J. Barry, Solicitor)

## Re:

Action brought against the decision of the Fourth Board of Appeal of OHIM of 23 October 2014 (Case R 2040/2013-4), relating to opposition proceedings between British Sky Broadcasting Group plc and International Gaming Projects Ltd.

# Operative part of the order

1. The action is dismissed as being inadmissible.

2. International Gaming Projects Ltd shall pay the costs.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 65, 23.2.2015.

# Order of the General Court of 11 March 2016 — Binca Seafoods v Commission $(Case \ T\text{-}94/15) \ (^1)$

(Action for annulment — Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1358/2014 — No prorogation of the transitory measure concerning aquaculture animals laid down in Article 95(11) of Regulation (EC) No 889/2008 — Lack of locus standi — Inadmissibility)

(2016/C 156/59)

Language of the case: German

## Parties

Applicant: Binca Seafoods GmbH (Munich, Germany) (represented by: H. Schmidt, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: K. Walkerová, H. Kranenborg and G. von Rintelen, acting as Agents)

## Re:

Application for annulment of Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1358/2014 of 18 December 2014 amending Regulation (EC) No 889/2008 laying down detailed rules for the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 834/2007 as regards the origin of organic aquaculture animals, aquaculture husbandry practices, feed for organic aquaculture animals and products and substances allowed for use in organic aquaculture (OJ 2014 L 365, p. 97).

## Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed.
- 2. Binca Seafoods GmbH shall pay the costs.

(<sup>1</sup>) OJ C 155, 11.5.2015.