Order of the General Court of 25 September 2015 — Kolarova v REA

(Case T-533/14 P) (1)

(Appeals — Civil service — Member of the contract staff — REA — Powers conferred on the authority authorised to conclude contracts of employment — Delegation to the Office for the Administration and Payment of Individual Entitlements (PMO) — Appeal directed against the delegating institution — Appeal clearly inadmissible in part and clearly unfounded in part)

(2015/C 381/31)

Language of the case: French

Parties

Appellant: Desislava Kolarova (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: F. Frabetti, lawyer)

Other party to the proceedings: Research Executive Agency (REA) (represented by: S. Payan-Lagrou, acting as Agent, assisted by B. Wägenbaur, lawyer)

Re:

Appeal brought against the Order of the Civil Service Tribunal of the European Union (Third Chamber) of 30 April 2014 in *Kolarova* v REA (Case F-88/13, ECR-SC, EU:F:2014:58), and seeking that that order be set aside.

Operative part of the order

The Court:

- 1. Dismisses the appeal.
- 2. Orders Mrs Desislava Kolarova to bear her own costs and pay those incurred by the Research Executive Agency (REA) on the appeal.

(1) OJ C 351, 6.10.2014.

Order of the General Court of 23 September 2015 — Estonia v Commission

(Case T-555/14) (1)

(Fisheries — Investment for fishing vessels carried out by Estonia — Decision to suspend the interim payments — Withdrawal of the decision — No need to adjudicate)

(2015/C 381/32)

Language of the case: Estonian

Parties

Applicant: Republic of Estonia (represented by: N. Grünberg and K. Kraavi Käerdi, acting as Agents)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: E. Randvere and K. Walkerová, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application for annulment of Commission Decision C (2014) 3271 final of 14 May 2014 on the suspension of interim payments in favour of Estonia for the period 2007/2013 within the framework of the operational programme of support for Estonia from the European Fisheries Fund.

Operative part of the order

- 1. There is no need to adjudicate on the action.
- 2. The European Commission is ordered to pay the costs.
- (1) OJ C 372, 20.10.2014.

Order of the General Court of 17 July 2015 — EEB v Commission

(Case T-565/14) (1)

(Environment — Regulation (EC) No 1367/2006 — Commission decision concerning the notification by Poland of a transitional national plan as referred to in Article 32 of Directive 2010/75/EU on industrial emissions — Refusal of internal review — Measure of individual scope — Aarhus Convention — Period allowed for commencing proceedings — Lateness — Action in part manifestly inadmissible and in part manifestly devoid of any foundation in law)

(2015/C 381/33)

Language of the case: English

Parties

Applicant: European Environmental Bureau (EEB) (Brussels, Belgium) (represented by: S. Podskalská, lawyer)

Defendant: European Commission (represented by: L. Pignataro-Nolin, S. Petrova and G. Wilms, acting as Agents)

Re:

Application, first, for annulment of Commission Decision C(2014) 804 final of 17 February 2014 on the notification by the Republic of Poland of a transitional national plan referred to in Article 32 of Directive 2010/75/EU, on industrial emissions, and, secondly, for annulment of the Commission Decision of 12 June 2014 (Ares (2014) 1915757) declaring inadmissible the applicant's request that the Commission review its decision of 17 February 2014.

Operative part of the order

- 1. The action is dismissed.
- 2. There is no need to adjudicate on the applications for leave to intervene of the Council of the European Union, the European Parliament and the Republic of Poland.